#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

### NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BEFORE THE HONORABLE NATHANAEL M. COUSINS

BABU, et al.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 18-cv-07677

AHERN, et al.,

Defendant.

Defendant.

)

San Jose, California

Wednesday

September 22, 2021

11:04 a.m.

# TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING RE: PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CONSENT DECREE [266]

### <u>APPEARANCES</u>:

For Plaintiffs: ROSEN BIEN GALVAN & GRUNFELD LLP

101 Mission Street, Sixth Floor San Francisco, CA 94105-1738

BY: JEFF BORNSTEIN, ESQ.

KARA JANSSEN, ESQ.

For Defendants: BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSON LLP

1901 Harrison Street, Suite 00

Oakland, CA 94612-3501

BY: GREGORY B. THOMAS, ESQ.

HANSON BRIDGETT LLP

425 Market Street, 26th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94105

BY: SAMANTHA WOLFF, ESQ.

PAUL BRIAN MELLO, ESQ.

For Wellpath: WELLPATH

1415 L Street, Suite 430 Sacramento, CA 95814-3963

BY: BENJAMIN TERRANCE RICE, ESQ.

Transcription Company: Access Transcripts, LLC

10110 Youngwood Lane Fishers, IN 46038 (855) 873-2223

www.accesstranscripts.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

## 1 Wednesday - September 22, 2021 11:04 a.m. PROCEEDINGS 2 ---000---3 THE COURT: Calling Civil 18-7677, Ashok Babu, et 4 al. v. Gregory Ahern, et al. Counsel, please state your 5 appearances beginning with plaintiff's counsel. 6 7 MS. JANSSEN: This is Kara Janssen appearing for 8 plaintiffs. 9 MR. BORNSTEIN: And good morning, Your Honor. Jeff 10 Bornstein from Rosen, Bien, Galvan & Grunfeld, also on behalf 11 of plaintiffs. 12 MR. THOMAS: Morning, Your Honor --13 MS. WOLFF: Morning -- Sam, go ahead. Sorry, 14 Ms. Wolff, go ahead. 15 MS. WOLFF: Sorry, Your Honor. Good morning, Your 16 Honor. Samantha Wolff from Hanson Bridgett on behalf of 17 defendants. 18 MR. MELLO: Morning, Your Honor. Paul Mello for defendants. 19 20 MR. THOMAS: Morning, Your Honor. Greg Thomas, 21 Burke Williams & Sorenson, for defendants. 22 Morning, Your Honor, Ben Rice for Wellpath. 23 THE COURT: Good morning. Good to see all of you. 24 We've had so many appearances by telephone, this is an 25 adjustment to our usual ways. You may hear the train rumbling

by in the background here in San Jose. And hopefully, our court bandwidth will hold out with the competing <a href="Elizabeth">Elizabeth</a>
<a href="Holmes">Holmes</a> trial happening down the hall. If we get knocked out, we'll blame Judge Davila and crew for that. But hopefully, we'll be able to keep going here. It's good to see all of you, and we are here for the preliminary approval hearing on the class settlement.

And you did express an interest in not having a hearing and just having me approve it, and I didn't vacate the hearing, not because of displeasure with the settlement, but for a few purposes. One was just notice. We did get a number of requests from individual residents at the jail, expressing an interest in more notice and in continuing the hearing, and I did not grant that, but I did put it on the record so that you all could see their request. But in part, because of that and because of the importance to the public and to the residents at the jail, I wanted to make sure there was an opportunity for the public to see and hear what we're doing and want to directly be available to the class members of the jail but for there to be an opportunity for them to hear about what went on in this public placement.

And secondly, was just to thank a couple of people and groups. One, Judge Beeler had many, many, many settings for settlement that led up to the settlement of the claims and defenses and the attorneys' fees in the case, and I wanted to

thank her publicly for her work with you, facilitating this important settlement. And of course, that addresses one of the aspects of settlement approval, which is to make sure it's not a collusive settlement, that it's been an arm's length process. And I've not been present or a participant in the settlement negotiations, but knowing that you've been meeting with her gives me great confidence that it's been a fair process to reach the settlement that you've worked on. So I wanted to thank her right off the top.

And secondly is to thank counsel on both sides for your collaboration and cooperation and advocacy at the same time to reach this result. This type of settlement is very complicated. There's many aspects to it, and you have worked through all of them, and you've done so while representing your clients and advocating for your clients' interest. it takes a lot of communication and working together to come to a an outcome that is good for all parties, and I very much think that this type of settlement is good for all parties. It's much better to have an agreement about what the plan is and to have a plan and to work through the plan together. makes it more likely to be implementable and enforceable and successful, rather than a situation which we could have and the alternative of fighting about it and the Court could order something. And even if I ordered something, it just leads to the likelihood that you'd be back, arguing about the court

orders and whether -- what was ordered and how it should be implemented and when it will be implemented and is there resources to do it. Having an agreement, I think, is just a much better approach to the very complicated regulatory environment of a county jail. There's lots of interests at a jail, many different participants. We're just some of them. There's people who are -- have an interest in the jail who are not here today and may wish to have their voices heard, but getting you to agree to it with your expertise is, I think, an important step in getting an enforceable and survivable plan going forward. So thank you for your hard work in getting this accomplished.

And third, Mike Brady has served as an expert to assist the parties over the course of the proceedings, and I wanted to thank him for his helping you and helping the Court.

There's other experts, as well, but I wanted to single him out for his timely and helpful assistance.

And along the way, we have Mr. Rice representing a party who's not a party to the case. I wanted to thank you, Mr. Rice, and your colleagues for voluntarily participating in the proceedings along the way to assist us going forward. because that's been very helpful at facilitating, you know, things in a crisis. We -- as of last March, we entered a very different zone of jail and community management, and everybody's been working together to respond to this crisis,

and that's been an evolving process. But I wanted to note that CFMG Wellpath has voluntarily participated in the proceedings, and I appreciate that. With things such as the defendants jointly putting up a publicly available internet page with information about policies and procedures of the jail and current statistics at the jail, as far as testing and COVID information, I think that's been very helpful for the Court and also the public to follow along with what's going on. And I know that plaintiffs' counsel has been engaged in that process too, pushing to get things publicly available, and our criminal defense attorneys have been interested in that and pushing for that. And I think that's all been helpful along the way. Not necessarily part of the settlement, so I'm going outside the scope of the motion before the Court, but I just wanted to review and say there's been some great work done together to get to where you are today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

All right. Now, working kind of back to our motion before the Court, Ms. Janssen, yesterday, plaintiffs' counsel filed -- you filed an update on some of the notice aspects, and I wanted to kind of start with that. I am tentatively inclined to grant the preliminary approval. So there's just some details which I need to make sure we have agreement on the plan going forward. And I think that the defense was part of the plan that you filed yesterday with a new date for final

approval, but if it wasn't, I wanted to kind of see if we've got an agreement on the date of when things will go happen after today. So I'll start with you, Ms. Janssen.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. JANSSEN: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes, we agree that we believe this settlement agreement provides comprehensive relief to all our class and subclass members, requires defendants to make significant changes in virtually all areas of the jail, including better coordination with community providers. And so we do stand by this settlement and believe it is a fair and reasonable settlement. However, we also, of course, want our class members to have the opportunity to fully review the settlement. And we would like to, of course, be able to answer any questions they have. in light of those objections that were filed, as well as the ongoing issues in accessing our class numbers posed by COVID-19, which we're hoping for assistance with defendants -from defendants to help us access them, we thought extending the timeline for objections, the notice period, by one month would be reasonable and allow some additional time and would not otherwise delay implementation significantly since that would just put our final approval at mid January, after the holidays. And so that's why we filed that yesterday and request that from the Court.

**THE COURT:** And is that with the defense agreement?

MS. WOLFF: Yes, Your Honor. We -- defendants do

1 not oppose plaintiffs' counsels request and the proposed order 2 extending the deadlines for the objections. 3 THE COURT: All right, thank you. And it doesn't 4 5 change the settlement agreement itself. It just -- I don't 6 believe. It just changes the proposed dates for when the 7 final approval process would be. 8 Sorry, go ahead, Ms. Janssen. 9 MS. JANSSEN: Yes, Your Honor. That is correct. 10 The -- although we did submit a revised proposed order, which 11 is, of course, revised from the old proposed order, the only changes in that are as to the dates. 12 13 Thank you. Thank you for confirming THE COURT: 14 that. All right. And for the public's benefit, why don't you tell everyone what your new date dates are for the objections 15 16 and the final approval hearing? 17 MS. JANSSEN: So the new dates are the deadline for 18 the objections by class members would be December 31st, 2021. 19 That extends it out about a month from its prior deadline. 20 And that will put our deadline for filing our motion for final 21 approval, which will respond to any class number objections, 22 at January 12th. And then, the hearing on final approval on 23 January 19th, 2022. 24 THE COURT: All right. And I would -- thank you

25

very much.

I would contemplate our final approval hearing would be by Zoom webinar, just like this one is being conducted, as long as we're able to successfully navigate this to the end. And it's possible the court may be having some in person hearings by that point in time, I guess, optimistically. I do think doing it by Zoom does allow for more public participation and observation of what we're doing, and that's in the public's interest. What it may be a challenge, though, is for individual class members to participate in the proceedings, whether that's in person in the courthouse or by So I'm open to -- and we do have access to the jail for proceedings on a daily basis. I have a calendar today at one o'clock to the jail with video connection, which has been a great improvement at our access to justice, but it does not allow for large communication. It's a one person at a time or small groups at a time communication. There are, again, improvements with iPads at the jail, being able to access and communicate with counsel and family members and in the court from time to time. But again, that's a person-by-person basis. So a long winded way of saying if there are ways to allow class members to observe the final approval hearing through the Zoom platform, I'm open to doing what we can to make it available to them. And that could be just an open invitation to you to think about what ways that could be accomplished.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. JANSSEN: Your Honor, if I may on that piece, we are more than happy to meet and confer with defendants about this and share that interest. You know, one option might be to perhaps broadcast on the webinar version of the hearing that could possibly be broadcast someplace in the jail. I don't know what the TV configurations are there. And I know that there are the tablets that do have access to certain things. Again, not sure how that will work, but we will certainly discuss that with defendants.

And if I may, for a moment, because I know there are people listening in here, discuss a little bit about what the notice will look like and where it will be posted. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MS. JANSSEN: So the notice, once it goes out, will be posted per the notice plan in all intake and housing units. It will also be on our website, of course, which is accessible to the public, and on the tablets used by the class members and on the TV system in the jail. So that's the shorter notice that describes, in broad terms, the settlement, as well as how to object. The parties have agreed that the consent decree itself will be available in a hard copy in a binder in the unit and will also be available on the tablet. Copies can also be obtained by contacting us, as counsel. And we intend to be able to provide copies of that in Spanish, as well as

1 | we'll look at additional languages, you know, upon request.

2 And I understand the notice has been translated into the

3 | various languages that are required by the jail. In addition

4 | to that, of course, we, as counsel, will be visiting with

5 class members to explain any provisions that they have

6 questions about and to help them understand how to file

7 | objections, should they wish to do so.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Janssen.

And I think as far as the piece of the class members participating, we'll set it and we'll indicate it will be by Zoom webinar. And we'll leave it to your further kind of planning and coordination to see if there's some way to make that available to class members. And if we, on the court's end can do something to facilitate that, we're happy to engage in that and to modify our platform to make it more possible.

MS. WOLFF: Your Honor, if I may be heard on that briefly.

THE COURT: Yes, go ahead.

MS. WOLFF: Thank you. We will, of course, meet and confer with plaintiffs' counsel on this request. And we understand it's within the Court's discretion to permit objectors to appear, and we believe that any of the objections though by class members are more appropriately handled in writing, particularly in the midst of this pandemic. We also don't believe it's appropriate for class members to appear at

the hearing and verbalize their objections without having previously filed written objections. So I just want to make that clear.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Thank you for raising that. And I did not mean to overstep and say that we're going to have a live "raise your hand and anybody who has something to complain about, please say so." We'll -- there is an objection process, a written objection process, contemplated in the settlement agreement, and I'm not suggesting to change to change that. I was more contemplating being able to access the proceedings and to listen and see what's going on. Theoretically, if somebody does object and raises the objection and wants to speak, well, then we can assess how we might hear from that person or persons in the court. I have considered the many writings that were submitted before this preliminary hearing, and I considered whether we should postpone the hearing, but I did not. And the reason why is that this is the preliminary approval hearing only. There has not been notice to all the class members yet and details about it. And I think it's perhaps encouraging that people at least heard about it and knew to object and to raise their concerns with the Court. That's encouraging. But we need to get them more information about what the settlement terms actually are, and that will come through the notice process and then a further hearing. So this is just a step along the way, an

```
1
    important step, about the settlement process. And the primary
 2
    objective is to make sure there is a robust notice process for
 3
    the class members, so that if there are objections, that they
 4
    have a way to go about and know how to properly raise those
 5
    objections. And we have a timeline for when things will
 6
    occur.
 7
         So that's why I didn't postpone this hearing, because
 8
    we're setting one in January, and the additional period for
    notice and objection will allow those class members and any
 9
    other class members who have an objection or want to write in
10
11
    in support of the settlement can do that within the time
12
    period allowed.
13
         All right. Any other just timing aspects? We'll make
14
    this modification as reflected in the proposed order. I will
15
    set the hearing when you have suggested. Any other timing
    things to discuss between now and then?
16
17
              MS. JANSSEN: Nothing further from us in terms of
18
    timing.
19
              THE COURT:
                          All right. And --
20
              MS. WOLFF:
                          Nothing further for defendants.
21
              THE COURT:
                          I'm sorry, go ahead, Ms. Wolff.
22
              MS. WOLFF:
                          Apologize. Nothing further from
    defendants either.
23
24
              THE COURT: All right. And the proposed order,
```

25 Ms. Janssen, that you've given us, that's the current version.

There's no further modifications needed from plaintiff side?

MS. JANSSEN: Yes, that should be the current version. I believe it was emailed to your chambers yesterday afternoon.

THE COURT: Very good. Thank you.

All right. Well, then let's kind of back out and,
Ms. Janssen, give me your overview as to what makes this a
fair settlement that I should preliminarily approve, and I'll
give the defense a chance to weigh in, too.

MS. JANSSEN: So as I mentioned, this settlement agreement provides comprehensive relief to all class and subclass members. This requires defendants to make significant changes in the areas of mental health care, in suicide prevention. It sets out-of-cell time standards, which we -- which are higher than any that we have seen set in these consent decrees and which we believe provide class members with the time out of sell that they are constitutionally required to access and the amount of time out of cell that will help prevent people, as much as possible, from further decompensating in jails due to their mental health disabilities.

The settlement also addresses and revamps the entire classification system, the use of restrictive housing, discharge planning, and includes ongoing measures to address COVID-19. It requires better coordination with community

providers and mental health care, in terms of intake and with respect to discharge protocols and procedures. While there are significant details that will be worked out in the implementation phase, the consent decree provides structure on how that will be done and envisions an implementation plan with various benchmarks to ensure that the relief that's in the consent decree actually occurs and the benefits seen by class members.

It also includes extensive monitoring and reporting provisions, both including class counsel monitoring the agreement to ensure it is properly implemented, a panel of joint experts also preparing their own reports, monitoring the agreement for implementation, and allows for access by the Department of Justice in regards to their ongoing investigation into the jail. We believe that this provides this is a fair, adequate, and reasonable settlement and provides class and subclass members with relief that may even exceed what we could have done at trial without expending the additional resources that, of course, would have had to be expended to fully litigate this case to trial.

THE COURT: Ms. Janssen, is this settlement limited only to Santa Rita Jail? The County previously had a facility it used at the beginning of this case in Downtown Oakland, and it's not using it presently. But if the County decided to reopen that facility or open another jail six months from now,

will this settlement apply to that facility or is it limited just to Santa Rita?

MS. JANSSEN: Our positions at this settlement applies to Alameda County's jail, or jails currently. Our case was filed as to both jails. Of course, Glenn Dyer was closed after the filing of our case. But our position is that this consent decree would apply should Alameda County reopen Glenn Dyer or somehow close Santa Rita and open an entirely new facility. The requirements in the settlement are about the treatment of people who are incarcerated in Alameda County as adults in adult facilities, and so would apply to any adult correctional facility that houses those individuals in the county.

THE COURT: Thank you. And is it fair to say that this settlement applies to all of the inmates at Santa Rita Jail, whether they're housed there as federal detainees or county detainees or prisoners, or is there some distinction made in the settlement between those different populations?

MS. JANSSEN: It applies to all populations. There are no distinctions made in terms of whether you're federal or a county prisoner in the settlement. All provisions apply to everyone, including detainees and people who have been sentenced. There are certain provisions that, of course, apply more specifically to the subclass of individuals with psychiatric disabilities. So, of course, if you're a person

incarcerated in the jail without a psychiatric disability, many provisions still apply to you, for instance, the classification provisions, use of restrictive housing. The screening for mental health care would, of course, still apply to anyone to be screened, but, of course, those individuals without psychiatric disabilities do not have access to the protections in the consent decree that are specific to those disability issues.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you for answering my questions.

Ms. Wolff, would you like to address the fairness of the settlement from the defense perspective?

MS. WOLFF: Yes, thank you, Your Honor.

We also believe that the consent decree is a fair and equitable settlement and that it was negotiated extensively with the assistance of Judge Beeler during arm's length negotiations. And we stand by its terms, which we strongly believe will improve the delivery of mental healthcare to incarcerated people within Santa Rita and improve their access to programs.

Attorneys at the Department of Justice, like Ms. Janssen said, also participated in various meet and confer discussions with counsel for both sides regarding the terms of the consent decree. They indicated that they have no objections to the

motion for approval of the consent decree. That letter was attached to my declaration. We believe that preliminary approval is appropriate at this stage, and we will immediately begin the process of posting notice once this Court determines that it's appropriate to do so.

THE COURT: All right, thank you.

Let's talk about the future of the agreement, kind of the timeline, not just the approval process, but what's going to happen after -- you know, assuming for purposes of discussion, there's final approval in January, you've got an implementation phase and then a number of things to occur after that.

Just for the public's benefit, Ms. Janssen, walk the public through what to expect and the timeline of implementation of the settlement.

MS. JANSSEN: Of course. So assuming that the settlement is fully approved in January, we envision that the first year, and likely the first two years, will be very resource intensive in terms of implementing the provisions in the settlement agreement, or in the consent decree. The consent decree specifically requires the parties to meet and confer after -- I believe it's right after three months, in the fourth month, to develop an implementation plan to set specific benchmarks. We are also required to meet confer to address all the policy revisions that will need to go along,

of course, with the settlement agreement, which will, of course, flesh out a lot of the specific details about how this will all function in the jail.

Once the policy development work is completed and the implementation plan is completed, then the parties will, of course, need to train. And when I say "parties," the defendants will, of course, be primarily training, but class counsel has a ability to provide input on the training to observe, as do the joint experts. So there will then be training on everything that has been redeveloped so that people know how to properly, you know, work in the jail at this point with all the provisions.

And while all of this is going on, there will also be some reconstruction of the outdoor exercise areas to maximize the ability of people to get out of cell, as well as to get outdoors, as well as some reconstruction within existing units. The consent decree does not envision the creation of any additional beds at the jail. The jail is below capacity, and it does have ample space. They'll also be some reconstruction of those therapeutic housing units and some other units, again to maximize spaces for people to be out of cell.

So this process will happen in the first year. There will also be monitoring reports happening during this period. While the reports themselves, the details including

identifying information of class members and protected health information, will be confidential, the parties have agreed on a process to develop fulsome, complete summaries of any issues identified by the experts, both positive and negative, and to make those publicly available, so that people can see how things are proceeding in the county.

Once the training is done, policies are done, every construction is done, and things are in place, after hopefully the first two years, then it will transition into more of a traditional monitoring process where, at that point, hopefully, the County will be essentially compliant with many of the broad strokes of the agreement, and it will be ongoing reports by the experts, ongoing access to class members. We are allowed to request information regards to specific class members through individual advocacy. And the DOJ will also be touring and have access, as well, for those last four years of the of the term. And the term is set to expire at six years unless either party extends or terminates it early, pursuant to the provisions of the consent decree or applicable law.

THE COURT: Thank you. And not to interrupt, there's particular provisions in the settlement for early termination. So that's an agreed-upon term, that the procedures would follow that process. And I've reviewed those, so I'm familiar with them.

On the topic of visits, I have appreciated, in the past,

Thank you

1 an opportunity to see the jail on escorted visits with counsel 2 on both sides. I'd hope to do that again over the course of 3 the agreement and consent decree with notice and opportunity 4 to join in on one of the expert or DOJ visits. I think that's a very important opportunity to get to put eyes on all the 5 things you've been working on there to see the improvements 6 7 that are occurring or work with you further on that. 8 All right. Very good. And Ms. Wolff, as to the defense 9 side, any additional thoughts on the timeline of things to 10 come? 11 MR. THOMAS: I have nothing further to add, Your 12 Honor. 13 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Well, then I think I'll conclude here by saying, again, 14 15 thank you for your hard work on this. I am inclined to 16 approve the settlement. I will work off your document, 17 proposed order with the dates that you've recommended, and 18 we'll get that set. I expect later this week, we'll get that 19 issued, so you can go on to the next phase of providing notice 20 to the class numbers and make sure my deputy is not going to 21 tell me I did something wrong. One moment. 22 Lili, anything I need to do? 23 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: No, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Oh, good. Phew.

All right. Then, that concludes this matter.

25

again very much. If I generate any additional questions during the phase between now and January, of course, I'll put it out to you in writing. If there are any modifications that come up during the notice process, please collaborate and get it to presented to me promptly so that we can make any changes to the schedule that needs to be done. And, of course, the motion for attorneys' fees. not being resolved today. That's part of the final approval process yet to come, so that's why I haven't addressed that topic today. And it's part of the settlement agreement, and we'll address that in finality at the January hearing. All right, thanks very much. Have a great day. MS. JANSSEN: Thank you, Your Honor. UNIDENTIFIED: Thank you, Your Honor. MS. WOLFF: Thank you, Your Honor. (Proceedings adjourned.) 

CERTIFICATION I, Ilene Watson, court-approved transcriber, hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter. Ilene M. Watson, AAERT No. 447 Friday, November 5, 2021