	Case 4:01-cv-01351-JST Document 35	01 Filed 12/09/20 Pag	e 1 of 25
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California MONICA N. ANDERSON Senior Assistant Attorney General DAMON MCCLAIN (209508) Supervising Deputy Attorney General RYAN GILLE (262105) IRAM HASAN (320802) Deputy Attorneys General 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-5500 Facsimile: (415) 703-5500 Facsimile: (415) 703-58443 Email: Ryan.Gille@doj.ca.gov HANSON BRIDGETT LLP PAUL B. MELLO - 179755 SAMANTHA D. WOLFF - 240280 425 Market Street, 26th Floor San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 7773200 Facsimile: (415) 541-9366 pmello@hansonbridgett.com Attorneys for Defendants	PRISON LAW OFFI DONALD SPECTER STEVEN FAMA (99 ALISON HARDY (1 SARA NORMAN (1 RANA ANABTAWI SOPHIE HART (321 1917 Fifth Street Berkeley, California Telephone: (510) 28 Fax: (510) 280-2704 dspecter@prisonlaw. <i>Attorneys for Plaintig</i>	R (83925) (641) 35966) 89536) (267073) 663) 94710 0-2621 com
14			
15	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT	
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CA	ALIFORNIA, OAKLAN	D DIVISION
17			
17 18	MARCIANO PLATA, et al.,	CASE NO. 01-1351 .	JST
	MARCIANO PLATA, et al., Plaintiffs,	JOINT CASE MAN	AGEMENT
18		JOINT CASE MAN CONFERENCE ST	AGEMENT ATEMENT
18 19	Plaintiffs,	JOINT CASE MAN CONFERENCE ST Judge: Hon. Jon S. 7 Date: December 10	AGEMENT ATEMENT Figar
18 19 20	Plaintiffs, v.	JOINT CASE MAN CONFERENCE ST Judge: Hon. Jon S. 7	AGEMENT ATEMENT Figar
18 19 20 21	Plaintiffs, v. GAVIN NEWSOM, et al.,	JOINT CASE MAN CONFERENCE ST Judge: Hon. Jon S. 7 Date: December 10 Time: 10:00 a.m.	AGEMENT ATEMENT Figar
18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiffs, v. GAVIN NEWSOM, et al.,	JOINT CASE MAN CONFERENCE ST Judge: Hon. Jon S. 7 Date: December 10 Time: 10:00 a.m.	AGEMENT ATEMENT Figar
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	Plaintiffs, v. GAVIN NEWSOM, et al.,	JOINT CASE MAN CONFERENCE ST Judge: Hon. Jon S. 7 Date: December 10 Time: 10:00 a.m.	AGEMENT ATEMENT Figar
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	Plaintiffs, v. GAVIN NEWSOM, et al.,	JOINT CASE MAN CONFERENCE ST Judge: Hon. Jon S. 7 Date: December 10 Time: 10:00 a.m.	AGEMENT ATEMENT Figar
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	Plaintiffs, v. GAVIN NEWSOM, et al.,	JOINT CASE MAN CONFERENCE ST Judge: Hon. Jon S. 7 Date: December 10 Time: 10:00 a.m.	AGEMENT ATEMENT Figar
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	Plaintiffs, v. GAVIN NEWSOM, et al.,	JOINT CASE MAN CONFERENCE ST Judge: Hon. Jon S. 7 Date: December 10 Time: 10:00 a.m. Crtrm.: 6, 2nd Floor	AGEMENT ATEMENT J, 2020
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	Plaintiffs, v. GAVIN NEWSOM, et al.,	JOINT CASE MAN CONFERENCE ST Judge: Hon. Jon S. 7 Date: December 10 Time: 10:00 a.m. Crtrm.: 6, 2nd Floor	AGEMENT ATEMENT Figar

16964008.3

The parties submit the following joint statement in advance of the December 10,
 2020 Case Management Conference.

3

I.

POPULATION REDUCTION

4 Plaintiffs' Position: As California experiences its most extensive surge of the 5 pandemic, it is clear that further population reductions in CDCR are necessary to minimize the risk of harm from COVID-19, particularly at prisons with primarily open-air, 6 7 congregate living spaces, and among those at increased risk of harm if infected. 8 Defendants have acknowledged that reduced population contributes to fewer infections and 9 deaths (see ECF No. 3469 at 3-4) and last week Secretary Allison reaffirmed that CDCR 10 prisons' "large population and physical layout make us particularly susceptible to the 11 spread of COVID-19."¹ With active cases at every prison, including 13 prisons with at 12 least 100 active cases, and the Receiver's new mandate that those placed on quarantine be 13 housed in solid-door cells (see Section III.A., infra), there is now an even more heightened 14 imperative to reduce crowding so that people are not put at risk. We call on the Governor 15 and CDCR to do so.

As previously reported (*see* ECF No. 3487 at 1:17-2:1), the prison and camp population has essentially plateaued, with natural releases along with those under the one continuing early release program – for certain people within 180 days of release – balanced against new arrivals from the county jail.² While intake from the county jails has been temporarily suspended, CDCR reports that as of November 23, 2020 nearly 8,000 people in the jails are pending transfer to its prisons. At the same time, the number of early

22

16964008.3

²³
 ¹ "Important COVID-19 message from Secretary Allison," December 4, 2020,
 ²⁴ available at <u>https://www.cdcr.ca.gov/insidecdcr/2020/12/04/important-covid-19-message-</u>
 ²⁵ from-secretary-allison/ (last accessed December 4, 2020).
 ²⁵ The most recent available CDCR data shows that on December 2, 2020, the
 ²⁶ Institution and Camps population was 93,962. *See* Weekly Report of Population,

https://www.cdcr.ca.gov/research/wp-

- ²⁷ <u>content/uploads/sites/174/2020/12/Tpop1d201202.pdf</u>. As previously reported, that
- 28 population for several weeks had averaged approximately 94,250. *See* ECF No. 3487 at 2, n. 3. On November 11, 2020, it was 94,340.

releases continues to be scant compared to the first month of the programs begun in July,
 when nearly 4,500 were provided release (*see* ECF No. 3417 at 5:14-17). In contrast,
 CDCR data shows that in the four weeks from October 21 to November 18, 2020, only 382
 people were released early.

5 Unfortunately, even as the number of COVID-19 infections in the prisons has surged to the highest levels ever, CDCR and the Governor have only very recently - informing us 6 7 only on the date of this filing, after not mentioning it at all when drafts were exchanged on 8 December 7 – begun further population reduction efforts, even though they have always 9 had clear authority to do so. There have been no further medical reprieves of sentence since the four granted a month ago (see ECF No. 3487 at 2:4-14).³ Now, as Defendants 10 report below, they are again considering release for some who are the most medically 11 12 vulnerable. This appears to be a version of the program stopped at the end of September 13 after a paltry percentage of those eligible were considered, and a miniscule 1% of those 14 eligible - approximately 50 of 6,600 people - were released (see ECF No. 3460 at 2:20-15 3:5). With regard to these new reviews, the number of people eligible, and when such 16 reviews will be completed, are not stated. While these new reviews are welcome, this key 17 information is necessary to fully understand its possible impact.⁴

We also appreciate that, as Defendants also state below, a relatively small number of
people have been released who were serving determinate terms consecutive to lengthy
indeterminate terms for which they had previously been found suitable for release. We
believe CDCR's actions in these cases result from our advocacy regarding an elderly and
medically vulnerable person who had served a lengthy indeterminate term for which he

- 23
- 24

On November 11, we asked about the conditions attached to the reprieves, including what type of community placement will be required and who will be responsible for health care for those released. Defendants provided responses in their final revisions to this Statement, which we appreciate.

Also, that some or even all approved for release may or will be referred to a
 superior court for resentencing, instead of being immediately released under the CDCR
 Secretary's emergency authority, is concerning, as such judicial review can take weeks.

was found suitable for and approved for parole approximately two years ago, and was now
 serving a determinate term for two in-prison drug offenses which occurred approximately
 15 and 25 years ago, respectively.

4 Despite these new actions, Defendants have not re-started the early release program, 5 also ended in September, for certain people within a year of release at certain prisons. 6 CDCR also has not granted incarcerated people additional "Positive Programming 7 Credits," as it did in early July when it rightfully recognized that the pandemic limits the 8 ability to earn sentence-reducing time credits, despite announcing a mandatory 14-day 9 further restriction on programming on November 25. The Governor and Secretary must 10 take all these and other actions now, to further reduce crowding so as to reduce the spread 11 of the virus, and thus sickness and death, in the prisons.

12 Defendants' Position: As of December 2, 2020, CDCR has experienced a 13 population reduction of 23,380, representing a nearly 20 percent decrease in the size of the 14 population, since the start of the COVID-19 public health crisis.⁵ Between July 1 and 15 December 2, 2020, 6,842 people were released from institutions and camps through the COVID-19 early-release programs Defendants announced on July 10.6 This represents 16 17 244 more early releases than those reported in the November 18 case management statement.⁷ An additional 10,606 were released in accordance with their natural release 18 19 dates during this period. As of December 2, CDCR's institutions have a population of 20 21 22

⁷ See ECF No. 3487 at 3:17-4:1.

16964008.3

28

This figure is calculated by taking the difference between the total population in institutions and camps on February 26, 2020 and December 2, 2020. Weekly population reports can be found at <u>https://www.cdcr.ca.gov/research/weekly-total-population-report-archive-2/</u>.

See ECF No. 3389 at 2:4-5:4 and <u>https://www.cdcr.ca.gov/covid19/expedited-releases/</u> for details regarding CDCR's COVID-19 early-release program announced on July 10, 2020.

1	92,259, ⁸ representing a decrease of 346 since Defendants' last reporting on November 18 ⁹
2	and an overall decrease of nearly 20 percent since the beginning of March. <u>https://word-</u>
3	edit.officeapps.live.com/we/wordeditorframe.aspx?ui=en-US&rs=en-
4	US&hid=vCe5%2Bp3Mrkefw96kzKDndA.0&wopisrc=https%3A%2F%2Fwopi.onedrive.com%2
5	Fwopi%2Ffiles%2FEFAD5F4D2302DFAD!1633&wde=docx≻=host%3D%26qt%3DFolders&
6	mscc=1&wdp=2&uih=OneDrive&jsapi=1&jsapiver=v2&corrid=de8d08f3-df71-4e33-908c-
7	<u>37031bfc25a6&usid=de8d08f3-df71-4e33-908c-</u>
8	37031bfc25a6&newsession=1&sftc=1&wdorigin=Unknown&instantedit=1&wopicomplete=1&w
9	dredirectionreason=Unified_SingleFlushftn1https://word-
10	edit.officeapps.live.com/we/wordeditorframe.aspx?ui=en-US&rs=en-
11	US&hid=vCe5%2Bp3Mrkefw96kzKDndA.0&wopisrc=https%3A%2F%2Fwopi.onedrive.com%2
12	Fwopi%2Ffiles%2FEFAD5F4D2302DFAD!1633&wde=docx≻=host%3D%26qt%3DFolders&
13	mscc=1&wdp=2&uih=OneDrive&jsapi=1&jsapiver=v2&corrid=de8d08f3-df71-4e33-908c-
14	<u>37031bfc25a6&usid=de8d08f3-df71-4e33-908c-</u>
15	37031bfc25a6&newsession=1&sftc=1&wdorigin=Unknown&instantedit=1&wopicomplete=1&w
16	dredirectionreason=Unified_SingleFlushftn2https://word-
17	edit.officeapps.live.com/we/wordeditorframe.aspx?ui=en-US&rs=en-
18	US&hid=vCe5%2Bp3Mrkefw96kzKDndA.0&wopisrc=https%3A%2F%2Fwopi.onedrive.com%2
19	<u>Fwopi%2Ffiles%2FEFAD5F4D2302DFAD!1633&wde=docx≻=host%3D%26qt%3DFolders&</u>
20	mscc=1&wdp=2&uih=OneDrive&jsapi=1&jsapiver=v2&corrid=de8d08f3-df71-4e33-908c-
21	<u>37031bfc25a6&usid=de8d08f3-df71-4e33-908c-</u>
22	<u>37031bfc25a6&newsession=1&sftc=1&wdorigin=Unknown&instantedit=1&wopicomplete=1&w</u>
23	dredirectionreason=Unified_SingleFlushftn3https://word-
24	edit.officeapps.live.com/we/wordeditorframe.aspx?ui=en-US&rs=en-
25	
26	
27	⁸ See December 2, 2020 population report at <u>https://www.cdcr.ca.gov/research/wp-</u> content/uploads/sites/174/2020/12/Tpop1d201202.pdf.
28	9 See ECF No. 3487 at 4:4-5.
	-4- Case No. 01-1351 JST
	JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT

Case 4:01-cv-01351-JST Document 3501 Filed 12/09/20 Page 6 of 25

I	
1	US&hid=vCe5%2Bp3Mrkefw96kzKDndA.0&wopisrc=https%3A%2F%2Fwopi.onedrive.com%2
2	Fwopi%2Ffiles%2FEFAD5F4D2302DFAD!1633&wde=docx≻=host%3D%26qt%3DFolders&
3	mscc=1&wdp=2&uih=OneDrive&jsapi=1&jsapiver=v2&corrid=de8d08f3-df71-4e33-908c-
4	<u>37031bfc25a6&usid=de8d08f3-df71-4e33-908c-</u>
5	37031bfc25a6&newsession=1&sftc=1&wdorigin=Unknown&instantedit=1&wopicomplete=1&w
6	dredirectionreason=Unified_SingleFlushftn4
7	CDCD is conducting individual reviews of medically high risk nations to determine

CDCR is conducting individual reviews of medically high-risk patients to determine 8 their eligibility to be released early. Eligible patients are those with COVID-19 weighted 9 risk scores of three or more, and who have either served the base term of their sentence or 10 are within one year of release. Determinately sentenced patients who have the highest risk 11 for morbidity or mortality should they contract COVID-19-those with COVID-19-12 weighted risk scores of six or more—and who are not required to register as a sex offender 13 under Penal Code section 290 are being reviewed first. Among these people, those who 14 pose a low risk for violent recidivism will be approved for release or referred to the courts 15 for expedited consideration for recall of sentence and resentencing, depending on how 16 much time remains on their sentences. A number of these individuals have been 17 incarcerated for a period of time that has exceeded their base term. Their sentences carry 18 enhancements that were previously mandatory, but are now at a judge's discretion after the 19 passage of Senate Bill 1393, which became effective on January 1, 2018. Therefore, the 20 Secretary is asking the courts to review certain high-risk medical cases for possible recall 21 and resentencing under Penal Code section 1170, subdivision (d)(1).

The Secretary also individually reviewed 24 indeterminately sentenced people who
were granted parole for their commitment offenses, but remaineed in prison serving
separate terms for offenses committed while in prison. The Secretary approved 19 people
for release and they have all been released.

Finally, responding to Plaintiffs' footnote 3, Housing varies depending on
individual. Some people will be placed with family or friends, and others at community
housing. Medical will be provided through Medi-Cal.

In addition, the Secretary individually reviewed 24 people who had finished serving
 indeterminate sentences (had final parole grant) but remained in prison serving a separate
 term for an in-prison crime. She approve 19 people for release and they have all been
 released.

5 CDCR continues to process early releases on a rolling basis through the 180-day 6 early-release program announced on July 10, which has accounted for the vast majority of 7 early releases since then. This discretionary early-release program was implemented as an 8 added safety measure at a time when more comprehensive COVID-19 related policies 9 were still being developed. Since then, CDCR adopted additional significant safety 10 measures to reduce the spread of COVID-19, including, as described below, a reduction in 11 intake from county jails, comprehensive testing, quarantine, isolation, and movement 12 protocols, policies regarding personal protective equipment, and plans for COVID-19 13 testing of staff and incarcerated persons.

14 Additional measures include, but are not limited to, aggressive testing strategies in 15 each of CDCR's 35 institutions, contact tracing conducted by healthcare staff, quarantine 16 and isolation protocols that go beyond what the Centers for Disease Control has 17 recommended, a movement matrix that requires compliance with stringent safety protocols 18 when moving incarcerated people, staff testing, protective equipment, ongoing 19 collaborations with counties regarding compliance movement matrix protocols in advance 20 of intake, and measures to increase compliance with PPE policies. Plaintiffs have actively 21 contributed to the development of safety protocols implemented by the Receiver and 22 monitored CDCR's compliance with these protocols, many of which are mentioned above 23 and in sections below. CDCR continues to evaluate, improve, and update these policies in 24 close coordination with the Receiver.

25 || II. INTAKE

Plaintiffs' Position: Particularly in light of the significant increase of COVID-19 in
the community, we support the decision to suspend intake at least until December 13.

28 Defendants' Position: CDCR accepted 355 incarcerated persons into custody from

1 county jail intake during the week of November 16, 2020, as follows:

November 16 November 16 November 16 November 16 November 16 November 16 November 16 November 16	CANCELED 12 12 29 89 89 86 38	StanislausNevadaSiskiyouSolanoSanta BarbaraMontereySacramentoRiverside	NKSPNKSPNKSPNKSPWSPWSPWSP
November 16 November 16 November 16 November 16 November 16	12 29 89 89 89 86 38	SiskiyouSolanoSanta BarbaraMontereySacramento	NKSP NKSP WSP WSP
November 16 November 16 November 16 November 16	29 89 89 86 38	SolanoSanta BarbaraMontereySacramento	NKSP WSP WSP
November 16 November 16 November 16 November 16	89 89 89 86 38	Santa BarbaraMontereySacramento	WSP WSP
November 16 November 16 November 16	89 86 38	Monterey Sacramento	WSP
lovember 16 lovember 16	86 38	Sacramento	
lovember 16	38		WSP
		Riverside	
otal Week of			CCWF
ovember 16:	355		
	-		ek of November 23, 2020, nto Wasco State Prison and
-	a Women's Facilit	_	
lovember 23	89	San Joaquin	WSP
lovember 23	28	Sonoma	WSP
lovember 23	10	San Benito	WSP
lovember 23	16	Del Norte	WSP
	19	Los Angeles	CCWF
lovember 23			I
	wember 23 wember 23	wember 23 16 wember 23 19 tal Week of 162	wember 2316Del Nortewember 2319Los Angeles

²⁵ with public health and health care guidance, CDCR suspended intake from county jails

²⁶ effective November 26, 2020, through at least December 13, 2020. CDCR will continue to

-7-

²⁷ evaluate when, and to what extent and under what conditions, it is safe to resume intake

 $28 \parallel$ from county jails.

1 III. QUARANTINE AND ISOLATION

Plaintiffs' Position:

3

2

A. Set Aside of Quarantine and Isolation Space

The parties will file a joint brief regarding the adequacy of the set-aside spaces on
December 9. Plaintiffs, in challenging Defendants' practice of quarantining people
exposed to the virus in shared air spaces, provided their draft expert declaration to
Defendants on November 30, their draft proposed order on December 2, and their draft
brief on December 3. Plaintiffs have made themselves available to Defendants to answer
questions, and have done so fully on two occasions in the week of November 30.

10 On December 4, the Receiver issued a new policy regarding quarantine, stating that 11 "post-exposure quarantine in shared airspace housing more than 2 persons fails to 12 adequately achieve the intended goals of a COVID-19 post-exposure quarantine to 13 facilitate the prompt identification of new cases and to help limit the spread of COVID-19 14 to new, uninfected people. The first choice for post-exposure quarantine housing should be 15 solid-door cells occupied by only one person. Quarantine cohorting as defined in the 16 Interim Guidance is to be used with no more than 2 persons per shared airspace housing." 17 The Receiver further noted that there are five prisons – Avenal State Prison, California 18 Rehabilitation Center, Chuckawalla Valley State Prison, Folsom State Prison, and San 19 Quentin State Prison – where "the available facilities are insufficient" to comply with these 20 standards. He directed that "[a]ll efforts should be made" at those prisons "to find 21 quarantine alternatives that satisfy the purposes of a post-exposure quarantine as set forth 22 above."

Plaintiffs have also challenged Defendants' failure to set aside precautionary
quarantine space as required by the Receiver in the August 19 Movement Matrix.
Defendants have not provided a clear policy on precautionary quarantine set-aside space.

26

B. Development of Policies and Procedures on Quarantine and Isolation

As noted in multiple Joint Case Management Conference Statements, Plaintiffs
have for several months requested that the Receiver, in conjunction with CDCR, draft a

16964008.3

Case No. 01-1351 JST

1 procedure that clearly lays out what steps should be taken when a patient is confirmed or 2 suspected to be COVID-positive. Our request was grounded on a series of concerning 3 housing moves we identified at multiple prisons, where there appeared to be significant 4 delays in moving COVID-positive patients to isolation or COVID-exposed patients to 5 quarantine. We asked that the Receiver mandate the steps that should be taken to ensure 6 that patients are moved into the appropriate housing on a timely basis, including the 7 assignment of a point-person who is ultimately responsible for the patient bed moves and 8 for daily monitoring of each patient's housing assignment.

9 In response to our concerns, on December 4, CCHCS reported it had recently put in 10 place an automatic notification system, which sends an email to a designated group of staff 11 at each prison within one hour of a positive test result being received. CCHCS reported it 12 is working on shortening that timeframe. CCHCS also reported it has now assigned staff 13 at each institution to verify that patients have been timely and appropriately moved. 14 Finally, CCHCS said it is working on a dashboard to measure timeliness of moves at each 15 prison. We support these steps and believe they are necessary to ensure patients are being 16 appropriately isolated and quarantined, which is essential to prevent the spread of the 17 virus.

18

C. Monitoring Use of Quarantine and Isolation Space

19 Plaintiffs' primary way to monitor use of quarantine and isolation spaces at the 20prisons is through CCHCS's Outbreak Management Tools (OMTs). Prisons with active 21 COVID-19 outbreaks (defined by CCHCS for this purpose as 10 or more active cases) 22 have been directed to upload OMTs daily; prisons without outbreaks have been directed to 23 upload OMTs weekly. The OMTs provide information regarding isolation housing of 24 those with active COVID, including where such patients are housed. However, most 25 prison's OMTs do not include specific housing information for those who are quarantined 26 (e.g., what housing units are being used, whether people are in cells behind solid doors, 27 and, if not, why such cells are not available). We have asked that the OMTs be modified 28 to include this essential information about quarantine housing, so that we and CCHCS

Case No. 01-1351 JST

regional and headquarters managers can effectively monitor whether people are being
 safely quarantined. On December 4, CCHCS said it would consider our request.

Defendants' Position: CDCR has completed its efforts to set aside large amounts of previously identified isolation and quarantine space at the prisons. CDCR has continued to work with Plaintiffs, the Receiver, the *Coleman* Special Master, and the *Armstrong* Court Expert to ensure that appropriate isolation and quarantine space is reserved for class members of all three class actions and to modify reserved spaces and plans for quarantine and isolation as needed across the system.

9 The parties have met and conferred about Plaintiffs' motion regarding quarantine 10 and isolation space. Specifically, the parties had a preliminary discussion regarding 11 Plaintiffs' position on December 1, 2020, though Plaintiffs were unclear as to the relief 12 they would be seeking as of that time. Subsequently, on Thursday, December 3, 2020, 13 Plaintiffs provided a draft of their portion of the joint brief and further clarified their 14 position and requested relief that same day via videoconference. Their position, as 15 Defendants understand it, is that inmates in quarantine must be housed in a single-cell with 16 a solid door or else Defendants will be in violation of the Eighth Amendment, regardless of 17 Defendants' compliance with the Court's July 2020 Order, and with the public health 18 guidance regarding appropriate quarantine practices of the Receiver, Center for Disease 19 Control, and California Department of Public Health.

On December 3, 2020, the Receiver sent the parties a table that describes the places
where currently quarantined patients are being housed, and, on December 4, 2020, the
Receiver issued new guidance regarding housing options for patients being quarantined.
Defendants are still evaluating these documents and look forward to discussing them with
the Receiver.

Defendants provided Plaintiffs with a document on December 4, 2020, setting forth
their preliminary responses in bullet-point format to both Plaintiffs' motion and to the
declaration of Dr. Lauring. Defendants also inquired whether Plaintiffs' position had
changed in light of the Receiver's statement on quarantine (issued December 4, 2020),

-10-

JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT

including whether they believed that the parties would benefit from postponing the hearing
 on Plaintiffs' quarantine motion so the parties could further discuss the Receiver's
 December 4th guidance.

Also on December 4, 2020, Defendants produced to Plaintiffs a new chart that sets
forth the spaces that have been reserved under the Court's July 2020 order for quarantine
and isolation and further describes substantial additional space at many prisons that is
currently available for quarantine or isolation if needed.

8 On the evening of December 7, 2020, after Defendants provided Plaintiffs with their 9 brief and supporting declarations, Plaintiffs informed Defendants that their position had 10 changed in light of the Receiver's December 4th guidance. Now their position is that 11 double celling is reasonable for post-exposure quarantine, in contrast to their original 12 position that quarantine following an exposure in anything short of a single cell with a 13 solid door violates the Eighth Amendment.

Defendants believe that Plaintiffs' motion is premature and fails to satisfy requisite
legal standards. We have suggested instead that the parties meet and confer with input
from the Receiver to further explore the issues Plaintiffs have raised and the specific relief
they request to determine whether there may be an informal way to resolve their concerns.

18

IV.

SAFELY HOUSING MEDICALLY VULNERABLE PEOPLE

19 Plaintiffs' Position: Living in open air congregate living spaces places people at 20high risk for contracting COVID-19. In an effort to reduce the risk of harm to those people 21 most vulnerable to severe harm or death from the virus, the Receiver recommended on 22 October 21, 2020 that those with higher COVID Weighted Risk scores who are currently 23 in congregate housing be offered transfers to closed-front celled housing. During our 24 weekly conferences with CCHCS Regional Health Care Chief Executive Officers (CEOs) 25 and their supervisor and Defendants, and periodic conferences with the Receiver and his 26 staff, we have since then continued to discuss how this directive will be implemented. As 27 explained below, we very recently learned of a significant change in approach: the 28 rehousing program is likely to be mandatory for people identified as high risk at certain

Case No. 01-1351 JST

1 prisons, rather than voluntary.¹⁰

2 We discussed the program with Defendants and the Receiver's staff on December 3 3 and 4. We were told the program will initially focus on offering housing to those with a 4 Weighted COVID-19 Risk Score of greater than six, before widening to the pool of people 5 with Risk Scores of three or higher, and that the program is rolling out first at San Quentin 6 State Prison (SQ), and will then begin at Avenal State Prison (ASP), Chuckawalla Valley 7 State Prison (CVSP), and California Rehabilitation Center (CRC). In an effort to 8 effectively monitor this process, we have requested, but not yet received, an updated list of 9 all people with a risk score of three or higher, and an updated list of all celled housing 10 available statewide to accommodate the moves from dorms to celled housing.

On December 3, we were told that people would first be offered a voluntary move, but if people declined the voluntary move, they may be compelled to move. The following day, Tammy Foss, Director, Corrections Services, confirmed that the moves would be mandatory, and explained that the process would be streamlined: all people with a COVID Weighted Risk Score of three or higher at the four prisons (SQ, ASP, CVSP and CRC) will be scheduled for a classification hearing to prepare for transfer to a facility where they will be housed in a cell.

Defendants' Position: The Receiver has provided the parties with a report
proposing that CDCR offer over 8,000 high risk medical patients living in dorms the
opportunity to move into a single cell. On December 3, 2020, Plaintiffs, CDCR, and
CCHCS met to discuss the movement of medically high-risk patients from dorms to cells
including a discussion of Plaintiffs' recommendations following a survey of incarcerated

23

As indicated in our last CMC statement, recognizing that many people living in
 dorms were reluctant to transfer from dorms to cells, we conducted a survey of people who
 had been offered but refused housing in cells based on health concerns. We collated the
 findings, drafted recommendations for strategies to increase participation, and presented
 them to Defendants. Defendants have declined to implement most of the suggestions that
 we offered.

-12-

28 ||

16964008.3

people. CCHCS has identified 83 medically high-risk patients at San Quentin (which
 includes incarcerated persons on death row) with a COVID risk score of 6 and above. Of
 those, ten have agreed to move. The remaining medically high-risk patients will meet with
 the Unit Classification Committee to discuss appropriate housing alternatives, and will be
 moved. Movement for these 83 incarcerated persons will ultimately not be voluntary.

During this meeting, Plaintiffs inquired whether CCHCS and CDCR should
prioritize movement of medically high-risk patients at other institutions ahead of San
Quentin. However, as was explained during the meeting, now is the best time to move
medically high-risk inmate patients while there is no COVID-19 outbreak at San Quentin
and these patients can therefore be transferred safely.

On December 4, 2020, CCHCS informed the parties that going forward, the moves
of medically high-risk patients will be more streamlined to avoid delays in moves. The
Unit Classification Committee will no longer be preceded by an offer to move voluntarily.
Instead, healthcare staff will now participate in the Unit Classification Committee and
provide patient education.

The Defendants remain committed to working with the Receiver to facilitate
movements of medically high-risk patients from dorms to cells, or any other movements,
to safely house medically high-risk patients when such movement is recommended and
approved by the appropriate public health and corrections experts.

20

V. TESTING AND TRANSFER PROTOCOLS

21 Plaintiffs' Position: Transfers between prisons continue, although in reduced 22 number recently, presumably due to substantial COVID-19 outbreaks at more than 20 23 prisons. Testing and quarantining of those transferred, to reduce the risk of COVID-19 24 transmission, remain governed by CCHCS's August 19 "Movement Matrix." The disaster 25 at San Quentin earlier this year, resulting from the failure to timely test people for COVID-26 19 before they were transferred from the California Institution for Men, and the failure to 27 adequately quarantine those people after they arrived at the prison, requires full 28 compliance with risk-prevention requirements to minimize the chance of COVID-19

-13-

Case No. 01-1351 JST

spread when people are moved. CCHCS last week again said it is aware of no cases of
 COVID transmission resulting from people transferred pursuant to Matrix requirements.

3

Monitoring compliance with the Movement Matrix's testing and quarantine requirements remains a challenge. Unfortunately, CCHCS has reaffirmed that the Transfer Registries, which show whether Matrix requirements have been met for each person transferred, do not yet display reliable data. More positively, CCHCS reports that it has implemented a modified form in its Electronic Health Records System (EHRS), so that nurses can verify in writing that Movement Matrix requirements were followed by the sending prison before a person transfers.

10 CCHCS has also circulated a draft revised Movement Matrix, which we have
11 commented upon. The biggest proposed change, which we believe after consultation with
12 a public health expert is reasonable, is the elimination of pre-transfer quarantine in favor of
13 a double-testing requirement before transfer along with post-transfer quarantine and
14 testing.

15 Defendants' Position: Since the current iteration of the movement matrix went into 16 effect on August 21, 2020, DAI, CCHCS, and leadership teams at all institutions have held 17 meetings, conference calls, and training sessions to help staff understand and implement 18 the matrix. As directed by the matrix, movement is limited and controlled, and must be 19 pre-approved by CDCR headquarters, which is working in collaboration with CCHCS 20 (including Ms. Foss and Dr. Bick). Additionally, there is continued enforcement of the 21 safety protocols requiring all county staff and incarcerated people arriving at CDCR on 22 intake buses to wear N95 masks during transport. Further, CDCR and CCHCS continue to 23 utilize measures to track patient information for transfers. Staff at each prison have 24 procedures and processes in place to follow the requirements of the matrix. Further, on 25 October 6, 2020, CCHCS implemented an online registry to track all transfer information 26 for incarcerated persons. The registry is easily accessible, updateable, and contains 27 comprehensive information that allows staff to review medical and other important data 28 before, during, and after transfers. Finally, the prisons continue to offer comprehensive Case No. 01-1351 JST

JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT

COVID-19 testing for incarcerated people, and the specific protocols for each prison are
 outlined for Plaintiffs during routine calls with CCHCS staff.

- VI. COVID-19 TESTING
- 4

3

A. Incarcerated Population Testing

Plaintiffs' Position: CCHCS has revised its guidance to mandate serial retesting in
certain circumstances, and weekly testing of certain incarcerated person workers, including
those who work in kitchens.¹¹ An automated system to track whether such ordered testing
is actually done remains under development. That said, substantial COVID-19 testing is
occurring. Several prisons have recently added nurses to support increased testing, and a
number of prisons test never-infected patients weekly. Such has been done at San Quentin
since late June, with some patients now having been tested two dozen times.

12 CCHCS reports it very recently automated the ordering of COVID-19 tests, and 13 finally implemented templates by which doctors' notifications to patients of test results are 14 accompanied by essential educational information (however, we do not know how 15 frequently these templates are actually being used). It also reports having done just over 16 500,000 COVID-19 tests statewide since the pandemic began. These robust testing 17 strategies and efforts must continue, and be coupled with effective quarantining and other 18 risk reduction practices including population reduction, to minimize the spread of the 19 virus.

20

B. Staff Testing

Plaintiffs' Position: Staff testing continues under CCHCS's October 30 "Employee
Testing Guidance," and continues to be carried out by vendors. On December 4, CCHCS
reported that is has begun hiring nurses to conduct testing after-hours and at the entrances

25

Periodic testing of those who work in Prison Industry Authority (PIA) factories,
 which we understand have been the locus of multiple outbreaks, remains discretionary.
 We recently received from CDCR a list of currently operational PIA facilities, and will
 further discuss with CCHCS the need for mandated periodic testing of incarcerated people
 who work at them.

-15-

JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT

to the prisons; it anticipates all such nurses will be hired by the beginning of January.
 Hiring of these nurses is critical, as staff who are symptomatic will not be tested until these
 nurses are in place.

As reported in the last Joint Case Management Conference Statement, we
previously requested CCHCS revise the Guidance to provide for more frequent
surveillance testing for staff whose jobs require high levels of contact with incarcerated
people, such as those working in kitchens and factories. On December 4, CCHCS said it
will mandate weekly testing for this group in the next version of the Guidance, which is
currently being updated. We appreciate this step and all CCHCS's work to develop a
COVID-19 testing policy for staff.

Unfortunately, we still have no access to employee testing data,¹² and thus no way 11 12 to monitor compliance with the Testing Guidance-including whether staff have refused to 13 be tested. Regarding refusals, we recently asked CCHCS about staff refusing to test at the 14 Substance Abuse Treatment Facility (SATF), after hearing concerns from patients at that 15 prison. SATF has recently experienced a devastating outbreak: on November 25, at what 16 we hope was the height of the outbreak, there were 1200 active cases among the 17 incarcerated population and hundreds more on quarantine for exposure to the virus. Six 18 people incarcerated at SATF have died due to complications with COVID-19 in the past 19 three weeks, and the prison currently has more than 200 staff with active, confirmed cases of COVID-19. 20

Despite the magnitude of this outbreak, we learned from CCHCS on December 4
that 52 staff members at SATF had recently refused to be tested for COVID-19. CCHCS
reported that these staff members had received Letters of Instruction (LOIs), which we
understand is a first step in the progressive discipline process. CCHCS also reported that

25

-16-

28 ||

 ²⁶ 1¹² On December 4, CCHCS reported that the staff testing reports were being validated,
 ²⁷ and it anticipated providing reports to Plaintiffs soon. However, as of this filing, we have not received any reports.

1 these staff members were permitted to continue working, even though they could be 2 infected and transmitting the virus. We have asked CCHCS and CDCR whether these 52 3 staff members work in jobs that require contact with the incarcerated population, and if so, 4 whether they can be reassigned to an administrative role until they agree to test or are 5 placed on administrative leave. We have also asked whether any of the 52 have refused to 6 test more than once. Finally, we have asked how many staff have refused to be tested at 7 the 34 other prisons in the past two weeks, and whether CDCR/CCHCS can produce bi-8 weekly reports of refusals, as is done for noncompliance with face covering and physical 9 distancing policies.

Defendants ' Position: Defendants note that Plaintiffs have raised issues in this
 section that appear to be directed to the Receiver's office and CCHCS. Defendants will
 not attempt to respond on their behalf, but remain committed to working with them in
 addressing Plaintiffs' concerns.

- 14 VII. Staff Compliance with Face Covering and Physical Distancing Requirements 15 Plaintiffs' Position: On December 4, Defendants produced to Plaintiffs the first set 16 of biweekly reports of staff noncompliance with face covering and physical distancing 17 requirements, as directed by the Court. See ECF No. 3492. These report document 521 incidents of noncompliance among custody staff and 210 incidents among medical staff.¹³ 18 19 Almost all of the noncompliance reported was for failing to follow mask wearing 20 requirements, and, particularly for custody staff, the vast majority of the corrective action 21 taken was in the form of verbal counseling.
- We appreciate CDCR's and CCHCS's efforts to address this problem, but are extremely disappointed that eight months into this pandemic, compliance with mask-
- 24

JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT

-17-

Case No. 01-1351 JST

²⁵ 1³ Defendants provided two reports: one from CDCR, primarily reporting
²⁶ noncompliance among custody staff, and one from CCHCS, reporting noncompliance
²⁷ among medical and mental health staff. CCHCS's report included incidents between
²⁷ 10/27/2020 and 12/2/2020. The vast majority of incidents reported in CDCR's report also
²⁸ occurred between those dates, though several prisons included reports from earlier on in
²⁸ the pandemic.

1 wearing-a fundamental public health measure necessary to prevent the spread of the 2 virus—is still a significant problem at some prisons. In particular, we are concerned to see 3 so many reported incidents of noncompliance at prisons with active COVID-19 outbreaks, 4 including 32 incidents among custody staff and 17 incidents among medical and mental 5 health staff in recent weeks at SATF, while that prison was in the midst of a very serious 6 outbreak. It is equally disconcerting to see, via the reports, that statewide dozens of nurses 7 and at least six primary care providers received progressive discipline due to violating 8 face-covering mandates.

9 Also of note, on December 2 we sent CDCR and CCHCS a copy of a log or letter 10 written by a named resident of San Quentin's all-dorm H-Unit (which primarily houses 11 people who have not been infected with COVID-19) providing the dates and times of 20 instances between November 18 and 29 when staff - more than two dozen are named - did 12 13 not comply with face-covering requirements, including for an entire shift within a housing 14 unit. We asked that immediate action be taken on those named and described as violating 15 the face-covering mandate and that action be taken to end what, based on the numerous 16 instances of non-compliance described, appears to be a pervasive disregard of face-17 covering mandates by some at San Quentin. We are awaiting a response.

We continue to believe that an outside agency should monitor staff's compliance
with mask-wearing policies in CDCR. As discussed at the last Case Management
Conference, the Office of the Inspector General plans to conduct random audits of
CDCR's compliance with the mandatory mask requirement at all 35 state prisons between
December 7, 2020 and March 7, 2021. We understand the Inspector General will
periodically update the Court and the parties throughout this audit period; we have not yet
received the first update.

Defendants ' Position: CDCR has determined that light-weight, polypropylene
 procedure masks, sometimes referred to as surgical masks, are a more effective facial
 covering for preventing the spread of COVID-19, thereby protecting both staff and
 inmates. Effective November 23, 2020, all employees, contractors, and visitors working,

JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT

visiting or performing duties at a CDCR institution, indoors and outdoors, are required to
 wear a procedure mask at all times. Exceptions to the wearing of masks are made for the
 following situations:

4 1) eating or drinking, if a minimum of six feet of physical distance is maintained
5 from all other individuals;

6

2) When alone in an office with the door closed;

7 3) When alone in a tower or enclosed control booth with no other individuals
8 present.

9 Employees and contract workers are provided two procedure masks per shift, per
10 day, upon entry to an institution. Visitors will also be provided two procedure masks upon
11 entry to the institution or facility and as needed throughout the day. Staffing working a
12 double shift will be provided additional masks for the next shift. Procedure masks will be
13 provided at the screening point (e.g., entrance gate or first pedestrian entrance). If staff,
14 contractors, or visitors arrive without a mask, they will be required to put on a procedure
15 mask prior to screening.

Defendants have also prepared and provided Plaintiffs with mask compliance logs
on December 4, 2020. As Plaintiffs note, the vast majority of corrective active action
taken was verbal counseling, however, the vast majority of instances of noncompliance
were a first offense. For those instances of noncompliance that were a repeat offense,
nearly all offending CDCR staff members received progressive discipline.

Defendants remain committed to enforcing mask wearing and social distancing
statewide. Further, Defendants issued a memorandum updating and clarifying
expectations for staff mask usage and physical distancing in a December 4, 2020 directive.
Staff are required to review and acknowledge the directive via CDCR's training portal.
Supervisors are notified of any staff who have failed to review and acknowledge the
directive. A copy of that directive is attached as Exhibit A.

27 VIII. Prison-Specific Updates

28

Divintifier' Desition. We continue to have we shire on f

Plaintiffs' Position: We continue to have weekly conferences with CCHCS

Case No. 01-1351 JST

JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT

1 Regional Health Care Chief Executive Officers (CEOs) and their supervisor regarding 2 COVID-related matters at individual prisons. In recent weeks, we have asked whether air-3 handler units (AHUs) in cell blocks at certain prisons are a concern with respect to the 4 spread of the virus. This concern has heightened with a significant outbreak at High 5 Desert State Prison (High Desert). After the outbreak at that prison began last month, 6 CCHCS in response to our query said that housing unit ventilation was a concern for viral 7 spread, due to air being recirculated in housing units especially during cold weather (High 8 Desert is located in Susanville, where the average low temperature in November and 9 December is below freezing). CCHCS said an environmental survey was being done at the 10 prison. That survey, provided last weekend, reported that in all High Desert housing units 11 during cold weather months, heating and ventilation is done via 100% recirculated air, and 12 for many buildings, that air recirculates not only within buildings (including between 13 otherwise separate sections) but also between housing units including across separate 14 facilities. We are seeking further information about the role of the ventilation system in 15 High Desert's outbreak, and whether this is a concern at other prisons. On December 9, 16 CDCR said the information about High Desert was incorrect, and provided further details 17 about ventilation at the prison. We are reviewing and will follow up with CDCR and 18 experts as necessary.

Defendants' Position: Starting November 26, 2020, Defendants implemented a 14day modified programming schedule. A copy of that directive as attached as Exhibit A.
Also, in response to the State's Stay-at-Home Order, Defendants implemented a directive
instructing that the minimum number of staff are onsite to perform in-person, essential
functions, and that staff that can telework to the maximum extent possible. A copy of that
directive as attached as Exhibit C.

25 IX. Vaccines

Plaintiffs' Position: CCHCS reports it has worked for months to prepare for and
obtain COVID-19 vaccines, and has been aggressively advocating for its patients' needs.
The Receiver says these efforts were in part responsible for the State's inclusion of

-20-

1 "correctional facility hospitals" and "[s]killed nursing facilities, assisted living facilities, 2 and similar settings for older and medically vulnerable" people in "Tier One" of 3 California's vaccine distribution plan, and "correctional facility clinics" in "Tier Two" of 4 its vaccine distribution plan. See https://covid19.ca.gov/vaccines (last accessed Dec. 6, 5 2020).

6 More practically, CCHCS says it expects delivery in the very near future at 7 essentially every prison of freezers capable of sub-zero storage, necessary for at least one 8 of the COVID-19 vaccines. The Receiver reports that CCHCS has been told it should plan 9 on offering its first doses of vaccines to patients the second week of January. CCHCS 10 could not say how much vaccine they will receive initially or subsequently, in part because 11 they say conversations with and decisions by the California Department of Public Health and its control agency (and Governor's office) are still occurring. But CCHCS says that, 12 13 consistent with the state as a whole, its initial and perhaps subsequent supplies may or will be limited,¹⁴ so it is establishing vaccination priorities, focusing first on patients who have 14 15 not been infected and are the most medically vulnerable.¹⁵

16 We highly commend the Receiver's and CCHCS's efforts, and share the hope that 17 vaccines may eventually substantially reduce the harm caused by the pandemic in the prisons.¹⁶ However, that the number of vaccine doses to be received, and when they will 18

19

-21-

²⁰ 14 The Centers for Disease Control will allocate vaccine supplies to the fifty states. 15 21 This approach to prioritization is in accord with our general views. Still, we have asked for written specifics from CCHCS so that the plan's details can be considered. 22 Information about vaccine efficacy and adverse effects is not yet fully known, including because the elderly and medically vulnerable were not included in trials. See 23 "The Exclusion of Older Persons From Vaccine and Treatment Trials for Coronavirus 24 Disease 2019-Missing the Target," JAMA Internal Medicine, September 28, 2020, available at https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamainternalmedicine/fullarticle/2771091. It 25 also is not yet known how long any immunity produced by a vaccine will last. In any event, we understand it may take months for broad societal (and thus CDCR-wide) benefits 26 to occur even if vaccines are safe, effective, and widely received. Thus, all current risk 27 reduction measures must continue, and be improved as necessary. 28

1 be received, are not known creates concern, as it raises the possibility that allocations will 2 not be commensurate with the clear, present, and continuing danger from COVID-19 faced 3 by those in prison. CDCR houses approximately 55,000 people who have at least one risk 4 factor for severe complications if infected with the virus, and more than 15,000 whose age 5 or medical conditions make them highly vulnerable to severe complications. Almost all 6 are housed in congregate or other settings in which substantial, massive, or megaoutbreaks are occurring, have occurred, or could.¹⁷ Social distancing and attendant 7 8 safeguards are to say the least very difficult in prison. CDCR to date has been unable to 9 adequately quarantine large numbers of those exposed to the virus, and information now 10 suggests the possibility the some housing unit ventilation systems serve to spread the virus. With 93 dead, approximately 700 hospitalized, and more than 25,000 infected so far -11 12 along with a massive disruption in programs and the stopping of in-person visiting – the 13 people incarcerated in CDCR have suffered inordinately from COVID-19, and continue to 14 face an extreme risk of harm from the virus. Offering vaccinations to the medically 15 vulnerable in prison should be given the highest priority.

16 Defendants' Position: Defendants note that Plaintiffs have raised issues in this 17 section that appear to be directed to the Receiver's office and CCHCS. Defendants will 18 not attempt to respond on their behalf, but remain committed to working with them in 19 addressing Plaintiffs' concerns.

20

X. **Other COVID-19 Related Matters**

21 Defendants' Position: On December 4, 2020, Secretary Allison issued an important 22 COVID-19 message regarding Governor Newsom's December 3, 2020 stay at home order. 23 In pertinent part, Secretary Allison advised staff that procedure masks are required and

24

-22-

²⁵ 17 With regard to potential catastrophes, we note that to date only a relatively small number of COVID-19 infections have been reported at the California Health Care Facility, 26 California Medical Facility, and Richard J. Donovan Correctional Facility, which each 27 have percentages and numbers of medically vulnerable patients in their populations similar to or greater than at San Quentin (28 deaths, 149 hospitalizations due to COVID-28 19) and California Institution for Men (27 deaths, 179 hospitalizations).

provided at all institutions, and cloth masks are required at all other work sites. She
 reminded staff that she expects staff to wear their mask properly to protect themselves and
 those around them. Secretary Allison also informed staff that supervisors and executives
 are performing spot checks throughout the institutions and work sites to ensure
 compliance, and that those who are not following directions will face disciplinary action.
 Secretary Allison's full message is available at:

7 <u>https://www.cdcr.ca.gov/insidecdcr/2020/12/04/important-covid-19-message-from-</u>
8 <u>secretary-allison/.</u>

XI. Updates on Medical Care Matters Not Directly Related to COVID-19

10 Plaintiffs' Position: CCHCS on November 30 provided the first of what will be 11 monthly reports on Medication Assisted Treatment (MAT) and pending appointments for 12 substance use disorder patients. The data shows 6,541 current active MAT patients, with 13 6,843 other patients pending an initial appointment with a licensed provider, 6,242 of 14 which are overdue. Although we asked for it, CCHCS did not provide data as to the how 15 long appointments are overdue. We believe based on individual case reviews that 16 thousands have been pending for months. The substance use disorder and MAT programs 17 are necessary and save lives, and the current efforts to reduce the massive appointment 18 backlog, previously described (see ECF No. 3487 at 21:19-22:4), are necessary. CCHCS's 19 future monthly reports will show whether these efforts are adequate to substantially reduce 20 the backlog.

Defendants ' Position: CDCR circulated a new video regarding its Integrated
 Substance Use Disorder Treatment Ambassador Program. A link to the video is:
 https://vimeo.com/485633742.
 https://vimeo.com/485633742.
 26
 27
 28
 <u>-23- Case No. 01-1351 JST</u>
 JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT

16964008.3

9

	Case 4:01-cv-01351-JST Do	ocument 3501	Filed 12/09/20	Page 25 of 25
1	DATED: December 9, 2020		HANSON BRIDO	GETT LLP
2				
3		By:	/s/ Paul Mello	
4			PAUL B. MELLC	
5			SAMANTHA D. Attorneys for Defe	
6	DATED: December 9, 2020		, XAVIER BECER	
7	DATED. December 9, 2020		Attorney General	
8				
9		Bv:	/s/ Rvan Gille	
10			DAMON MCCLA Supervising Depu	AIN ty Attorney General
11			RYAN GILLE IRAM HASAN	
12			Deputy Attorney O Attorneys for Defe	General endants
13			2	
14	DATED: December 9, 2020		PRISON LAW O	FFICE
15				
16				
17			/s/ Sophie Hart	
18			STEVEN FAMA ALISON HARDY	,
19			SARA NORMAN SOPHIE HART	
20			Attorneys for Plai	ntiffs
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT		-24- E STATEMENT	Case No. 01-1351 JST

16964008.3

Case 4:01-cv-01351-JST Document 3501-1 Filed 12/09/20 Page 1 of 5

EXHIBIT A





CALIFORNIA CORRECTIONAL HEALTH CARE SERVICES

MEMORANDUM

Date: December 4, 2020

To: California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation - Headquarters, Regional, and Field Office Staff California Correctional Health Care Services - Headquarters, Regional, and Field Office Staff Division of Juvenile Justice - Headquarters, Regional, and Field Office Staff

From:

KATHLEEN ALLISON Secretary California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation

J. CLARK KELSO Receiver

Subject: STAFF WEARING FACIAL COVERINGS AND PHYSICAL DISTANCING REQUIREMENTS AT HEADQUARTERS, REGIONAL, AND FIELD OFFICE LOCATIONS

This memorandum updates and clarifies expectations and requirements outlined in the, June 26, 2020 and October 27, 2020, memoranda regarding the wearing of facial coverings and the practice of physical distancing at all California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR), California Correctional Health Care Services (CCHCS), and Division of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) offices including headquarters, regional, and field office locations.

All staff working or performing duties at any CDCR, CCHCS, or DJJ headquarters, regional, and field office location shall practice physical distancing (i.e., remaining six feet apart) and properly wear facial coverings at all times. Properly worn facial coverings shall cover the nose, mouth, and chin and do not have significant gaps around the nose or other parts of the face. Facial coverings should be three layers and easy to breathe through, and the ear loops or ties should be tight enough to keep the facial covering from sliding down the nose. Sleeve-style facial coverings (gator masks), bandanas, and facial coverings with exhalation valves or vents shall not be worn.

If CDCR, CCHCS, or DJJ programs located at headquarters, regional and field office locations need additional Prison Industry Authority (PIA) cloth masks for staff, a Purchase Requisition may be

Case 4:01-cv-01351-JST Document 3501-1 Filed 12/09/20 Page 3 of 5

California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation – Headquarters, Regional and Field Office Staff California Correctional Health Care Services – Headquarters, Regional and Field Office Staff Division of Juvenile Justice – Headquarters, Regional and Field Office Staff

MEMORANDUM

Page 2 of 3

submitted to <u>BMBPreq@CDCR.ca.gov</u> for CDCR and DJJ and to <u>m HCSAcquisitionRequ@cdcr.ca.gov</u> for CCHCS. PIA cloth mask information and pricing can be found in the PIA product catalog at <u>http://calpia.ca.gov</u>.

Pursuant to California Department of Public Health's (CDPH) November 16, 2020 <u>Guidance for</u> the Use of Face Coverings, the exceptions to this requirement are as follows:

- When a staff member is alone in a hard-walled office or room with a closed door.
- When a staff member is actively eating or drinking, provided that they are able to maintain a distance of at least six feet away from persons who are not members of the same household or residence.
- When a staff member is outdoors and maintaining at least 6 feet of physical distancing from others not in their household. When outdoors at the worksite, staff shall have a face covering with them at all times and shall wear it if they are within 6 feet of others who are not in their household.

Additionally, pursuant to CDPH November 16, 2020 <u>Guidance for the Use of Face Coverings</u>, a face covering must be worn in a car unless alone or solely with members of their own household. Therefore, employees traveling together or utilizing vanpools or carpools must wear masks during the entire duration of the trip unless all riders are from the same household.

REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS AND RELIGIOUS ACCOMMODATIONS

Staff unable to wear an approved face covering due to a medical, mental health, or developmental disability shall notify their supervisor and Return-to-Work Coordinator to engage in the interactive process. Staff requesting a religious accommodation shall contact their local Equal Employment Opportunity Coordinator. Staff who have submitted a request for reasonable or religious accommodation due to the inability to comply with CDCR/CCHCS face covering or Personal Protective Equipment guidelines may request permission to remain off work using leave credits or an unpaid leave of absence pending a determination on their request. The Department shall engage in the interactive process with staff to ensure that a timely reasonable or religious accommodation is made.

REQUIREMENTS FOR NON-COMPLIANCE

All departmental supervisors and managers are responsible for ensuring subordinate staff consistently wear approved facial coverings correctly and practice physical distancing. When managers or supervisors observe a subordinate employee failing to adhere to facial covering or physical distancing directives, corrective action shall be taken in accordance with Department Operations Manual, Article 22, Employee Discipline, section 33030.8, Causes for Corrective Action. Additionally, supervisors and managers shall document each instance of non-compliance

Case 4:01-cv-01351-JST Document 3501-1 Filed 12/09/20 Page 4 of 5

California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation – Headquarters, Regional and Field Office Staff California Correctional Health Care Services – Headquarters, Regional and Field Office Staff Division of Juvenile Justice – Headquarters, Regional and Field Office Staff

MEMORANDUM

Page 3 of 3

with any directives contained within this memorandum on facial coverings and physical distancing to track repeat offenses and take corrective and adverse actions, as appropriate.

For each instance of staff non-compliance, supervisors and manager shall immediately notify the respective Employee Discipline Unit, Employee Advocacy and Prosecution Team, Office of Legal Affairs, CDCR, or Performance Management Unit (PMU), CCHCS. The attached Non-Compliance Tracking Log shall be completed with information provided by each supervisor or manager and maintained by the respective Employee Discipline Unit, Employee Advocacy and Prosecution Team, Office of Legal Affairs, CDCR, or PMU, CCHCS. The Non-Compliance Tracking Log shall be retained until further notice and will be requested for, unannounced as well as regularly scheduled, audits or reviews.

Supervisors and managers who fail to enforce these directives shall be subject to progressive discipline including:

- Verbal Counseling
- Employee Counseling Record (CDC Form 1123)
- Letter of Instruction
- Adverse Action or Rejection During Probation, dependent on the employee's tenure.

As a reminder, the Employee Discipline Unit or your area's assigned Health Care Employee Relations Officer in PMU are available to provide assistance throughout the progressive discipline process.

Attachment

	0000 4.01	-01221		ocument	0001 1	55720 10	ige o oi o	
Comments								
Date 989 Request Submitted to Hiring Authority (if applicable)								
ECR/LOI Date of 30-Day Follow-up Discussion with Employee								
Corrective Action Issuance Date								
Type of Corrective Action Taken (Verbal Counseling: ECR, LOI)			ì					
Repeat Offense (Yes/No)								
Date of Failure								
Face Covering or Physical Distancing Non-Compliance								
Classification								
Employee's Name (Last, First Name)								
nit								

Non-Compliance Tracking for Staff Failure to Maintain Physical Distancing, Wear, or Properly Wear Face Covering (Insert Name of Headquarters, Regional, or Field Office Location)

Case 4:01-cv-01351-JST Document 3501-1 Filed 12/09/20 Page 5 of 5

Revised: 12/2/20

CONFIDENTIAL

Case 4:01-cv-01351-JST Document 3501-2 Filed 12/09/20 Page 1 of 6

EXHIBIT B

State of California

Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation

Memorandum

Date: November 25, 2020

To: Associate Directors, Division of Adult Institutions Wardens

Subject: COVID-19 MANDATORY 14-DAY MODIFIED PROGRAM (3)

The California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation's priority is to protect the health and well-being of our staff and the inmate population, as well as providing a safe environment. The purpose of the memorandum is to announce measures intended to reduce staff and inmate exposure to the Coronavirus (COVID-19) by minimizing inmate movement throughout the State.

Effective Thursday, November 26, 2020, all institutions will implement a mandatory 14-day modified program. Each institution will be responsible for either creating or amending their current Program Status Report taking all of the following information into consideration:

- The entire institution will be affected, except for Restricted Housing Units, Correctional Treatment Centers, and Psychiatric Inpatient Programs, etc.
- Movement will be via escort maintain increased physical distancing unless security would dictate otherwise (e.g. Administrative Segregation Unit placement). Movement will be in such a fashion as to not mix inmates from one housing unit with another housing unit.
- Feeding Cell feeding or one housing unit at a time, maintaining physical distancing and disinfecting tables and high touch areas between each use
- Ducats priority only
- Visiting no contact visiting
- Video-Visiting only for the designated institutions
- Family visiting none
- Legal visits urgent/emergency, via telephone or video conference where available. Board of Parole Hearings will continue with attorney contacts as required
- Workers critical and porters. All workers shall use appropriate Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) at all times.
 - o Refer to attached California-Prison Industry Authority essential Enterprises
 - Inmate Ward Labor or other mission critical, fire, life, safety construction projects shall continue, i.e., roofing projects, health care areas, HVAC, water, etc.

Associate Director, Division of Adult Institutions Wardens Page 2

- Showers maintain distancing and disinfect between each use per memoranda: COVID-19 Guidance for Daily Program Regarding Social Distancing for Cell or Alternative/Dorm Style Housing of Eight Person Cohort dated, May 11, 2020, and COVID-19 Related Cleaning Protocols for Institutions dated, April 8, 2020.
- Health care services limited to essential clinical services including urgent/emergent and by priority ducats. When applicable, such as no inmate movement at all, conduct 7362 rounds in the housing units.
- Request for Health Care Services Forms, CDCR-Form 7362, will be distributed and picked up in the housing units by healthcare staff when access to the 7362 box is restricted by movement.
- Medication(s) distribution Wardens, please work with your Chief Executive Officers and Chief Nurse Executives to establish a process. When applicable, conduct podium pass within the unit. If movement to the yard, canteen, and/or feeding in the dining halls continues, med pass shall be maintained at the pill windows, maintaining physical distancing and not mixing inmates from different housing units.
- Law Library PLU or paging option while maintaining physical distancing in the library
- Dayroom maintain reduced occupancy to ensure increased physical distancing
- Recreation One housing unit/dorm at a time. Do not mix inmates from different units.
- Canteen is permitted shall be conducted in a manner to ensure physical distancing. If unable to accommodate physical distancing, facilitate delivery method
- Packages are permitted
- Phone calls are permitted ensure physical distancing and disinfect between each use
- Religious programs shall be cell front, or deliver materials to the housing unit/dorm/cells
- Community Based Organization or volunteer program materials to be provided either cell front or to the dorm
- Educational materials to be provided either cell front, or to the dorm
- Transfer and inmate movement
 - Inter-Camp Transfers are permitted to comply with the reduction of Conservation Camps
 - Only essential moves approved via the movement matrix and via Population Management Unit in conjunction with Health Care Population Oversight Program

During this time, Community Resource Managers, Education Department staff, and others designated by the Warden shall facilitate the delivery of increased games, program materials, reading books, or other items to the housing units. Housing unit/dorm officers and supervisors are expected to conduct additional rounds and spot checks of inmates in an effort to reduce self-harm and/or suicide attempts.

Associate Director, Division of Adult Institutions Wardens Page 3

All institutions will be required to provide a copy of their Program Status Report, Part-A, to their respective Associate Director each day for this 14-day period. Institutions are expected to brief staff and inmate advisory committees on this directive as this modified program is currently only slated to be in effect for 14-days, through December 9, 2020.

Thank you for your continued efforts in managing this COVID-19 event. If you have any additional questions, please contact your respective Associate Director.

CONNIE GIPSON Director Division of Adult Institutions

cc: Kimberly Seibel Charles Callahan Patrice Davis Justin Penney



State of California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation

OPEN ENTERPRISES AS OF NOVEMBER 26, 2020- REVISED

Institution	Enterprise
ASP	Egg
ASP	HFM
ASP	Laundry
ASP	Poultry
CAC	HFM
CAL	HFM
ССС	HFM
CCI	HFM
CCWF	HFM
CEN	HFM
CHCF	HFM
CIM	Food &Bev
CIM	HFM
CIM	Laundry
CIW	HFM
СМС	HFM
СМС	Laundry
CMF	HFM
COR	Dairy
COR	Food &Bev
COR	HFM
COR	Laundry
CRC	HFM
CTF	HFM
CVSP	HFM
CVSP	Laundry
DVI	Dairy
DVI	HFM
FSP	HFM
FWF	HFM
HDSP	HFM
ISP	HFM
KVSP	HFM
LAC	Chemical
LAC	HFM
LAC	Laundry
MCSP	Coffee
MCSP	Food &Bev



OPEN ENTERPRISES AS OF NOVEMBER 26, 2020- REVISED

MCSP	HFM
MCSP	Laundry
MCSP	Meat Cutting
NKSP	HFM
PBSP	HFM
PBSP	Laundry
PVSP	HFM
RJD	Bakery
RJD	HFM
RJD	Laundry
SAC	HFM
SAC	Laundry
SATF	Food &Bev
SATF	HFM
SCC	HFM
SOL	HFM
SOL	Laundry
SOL	Optical
SQ	HFM
SVSP	HFM
VSP	HFM
VSP	Laundry
VSP	Optical
WSP	HFM
WSP	Laundry

Case 4:01-cv-01351-JST Document 3501-3 Filed 12/09/20 Page 1 of 3

EXHIBIT C





CALIFORNIA CORRECTIONAL HEALTH CARE SERVICES

MEMORANDUM

Date:	December 4, 2020
То:	California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation All Staff California Correctional Health Care Services All Staff Division of Juvenile Justice All Staff
From:	DocuSigned by: Junifor Oshorn JENNIFER OSBORN Director, Administration California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation DocuSigned by: JENNIFER OSBORN Director (A), Health Care Policy and Administration California Correctional Health Care Services
Subject:	CALIFORNIA'S REGIONAL STAY AT HOME ORDER AND DEPARTMENTAL DIRECTIVE

As a result of continued widespread transmission of COVID-19, on December 3, 2020, California's Department of Public Health issued a <u>Regional Stay at Home Order</u>. Additionally, certain state government functions will be impacted, effective December 7, 2020, through at least December 28, 2020. For state services, the Order will be applied on a statewide basis rather than

California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR), California Correctional Health Care Services (CCHCS), and Division of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) operations and staff are critical and essential; therefore, staff necessary to perform critical functions shall remain in the office, institution, or facility, while adhering to all physical distancing and mask directives. Hiring Authorities, managers, and supervisors shall assess their operations immediately to ensure the minimum number of staff are onsite to perform in-person, essential functions, and that staff that can telework are doing so to the maximum extent possible.

As needed, each respective program area shall provide additional guidance to ensure consistency in application ensuring critical and essential operations are not impacted, while maximizing compliance with the directive for telework.

regionally.

California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation All Staff California Correctional Health Care Services All Staff Division of Juvenile Justice All Staff

MEMORANDUM

Page 2 of 2

Each respective program area shall also assess travel scheduled through at least December 28, 2020, and postpone all non-essential travel. Headquarters and Regional staff should limit their presence at the institutions and facilities to mission-critical visits only which cannot be postponed until the conclusion of the Stay at Home Order.

As a reminder, Hiring Authorities shall continue with mandatory, daily COVID-19 Statewide Program Impact Tracking at: <u>http://teamsite/team/Exec/OR/COVID-19ImpactTracking/SitePages/Home.aspx</u>. Daily reporting is required before 11 a.m. (with the exception of weekends, which can be reported Monday for the preceding weekend). This data is required for control agency reporting, and non-compliance will be monitored and addressed as necessary. If there are questions, contact <u>covid19atoscreening@cdcr.ca.gov</u>.

Information technology (IT) equipment needs for staff teleworking identified by Hiring Authorities, managers, and supervisors may be requested via a ticket to the appropriate IT support team.

Tips, tools, and resources regarding telework are available on the intranet at <u>http://intranet/Pages/Telework-Info.aspx</u>.

We recognize this is an extremely challenging time for everyone and thank you for your dedication to our very important work for the State of California.