

1 DONALD SPECTER – 083925  
 STEVEN FAMA – 099641  
 2 MARGOT MENDELSON – 268583  
 PRISON LAW OFFICE  
 3 1917 Fifth Street  
 Berkeley, California 94710-1916  
 4 Telephone: (510) 280-2621  
 5 CLAUDIA CENTER – 158255  
 DISABILITY RIGHTS EDUCATION  
 6 AND DEFENSE FUND, INC.  
 Ed Roberts Campus  
 7 3075 Adeline Street, Suite 210  
 Berkeley, California 94703-2578  
 8 Telephone: (510) 644-2555

MICHAEL W. BIEN – 096891  
 JEFFREY L. BORNSTEIN – 099358  
 ERNEST GALVAN – 196065  
 THOMAS NOLAN – 169692  
 LISA ELLS – 243657  
 JENNY S. YELIN – 273601  
 MICHAEL S. NUNEZ – 280535  
 JESSICA WINTER – 294237  
 MARC J. SHINN-KRANTZ – 312968  
 CARA E. TRAPANI – 313411  
 ALEXANDER GOURSE – 321631  
 ROSEN BIEN  
 GALVAN & GRUNFELD LLP  
 101 Mission Street, Sixth Floor  
 San Francisco, California 94105-1738  
 Telephone: (415) 433-6830

9 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
 10

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 12 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 13

14 RALPH COLEMAN, et al.,  
 15 Plaintiffs,  
 16 v.  
 17 GAVIN NEWSOM, et al.,  
 18 Defendants.  
 19

Case No. 2:90-CV-00520-KJM-DB

**DECLARATION OF ERNEST  
 GALVAN IN SUPPORT OF  
 PLAINTIFFS’ RESPONSE TO  
 DEFENDANTS’ STRATEGIC COVID-  
 19 MANAGEMENT PLAN**

Judge: Hon. Kimberly J. Mueller

20  
 21  
 22  
 23  
 24  
 25  
 26  
 27  
 28

1 I, Ernest Galvan, declare:

2 1. I am an attorney duly admitted to practice before this Court. I am a partner  
3 in the law firm of Rosen Bien Galvan & Grunfeld LLP, counsel of record for Plaintiffs. I  
4 have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, and if called as a witness, I could  
5 competently so testify. I make this declaration in support of Plaintiffs' Response To  
6 Defendants' Strategic Covid-19 Management Plan.

7 2. This Court noted in the order of April 17, 2020 (Dkt. No. 6622) that  
8 "defendants have provided plaintiffs and the Special Master a detailed list of all class  
9 members with at least on COVID-19 risk factor." I am informed and on that basis believe  
10 that the "detailed list" the Court referred to is a spreadsheet emailed by CDCR attorney  
11 Nicholas Weber to the Special Master and counsel on April 7, 2020, titled "Coleman Class  
12 Members with at least one COVID-19 Risk Factor.xlsx." The spreadsheet contains the  
13 following column headings:

Institution
CDCNumber
FirstName
LastName
CellBed
MentalHealth Level of Care
COVID-19 Risk Factors

22  
23 3. The "Coleman Class Members with at least one COVID-19 Risk  
24 Factor.xlsx." contains entries for 17,825 class members.

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28

1           4.       On March 23, 2020, and April 8, 2020, we also received from CDCR  
2 counsel a PDF document titled “Institutional Bed Audit By Bed Program.” This document  
3 includes information regarding each housing unit, including design capacity, occupied  
4 count and level of overcrowding, in the format illustrated below:

5           **ASP - Avenal State Prison** Male Only II PF

Facility Name	Housing Area Name	Facility Building ID	Type of Bed	Design Bed Count	Overcrowd Bed Count	Medical Bed Count	Total Capacity	Occupied Count	Empty Bed Count	O/C %
ASP-Facility A	110	A 110 1	270 Dorm	68	68	0	136	96	40	141%
		A 110 2	270 Dorm	62	62	0	124	97	27	156%
	120	A 120 1	270 Dorm	68	68	0	136	107	29	157%
		A 120 2	270 Dorm	62	62	0	124	99	25	160%
	130	A 130 1	Dorm	100	100	0	200	148	52	148%
	140	A 140 1	270 Cell	50	50	0	100	50	50	100%
A 140 2		270 Cell	50	50	0	100	94	5	188%	
<b>ASP-Facility A Total</b>				<b>460</b>	<b>460</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>920</b>	<b>691</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>150%</b>

6           5.       If the Institutional Bed Audit by Bed Program were produced in a sortable  
7 Excel or .CSV spreadsheet rather than as a PDF, it could be efficiently married up with the  
8 April 7, 2020 list of *Coleman* class members so that the parties could focus on  
9 concentrations of vulnerable class members in already overcrowded units. On April 19,  
10 2020, my colleague, Jessica Winter, asked CDCR Counsel for such a sortable version of  
11 the Institutional Bed Audit. In the interim, I manually entered the Design Bed Count and  
12 Occupied Count information into a working copy of the April 7, 2020 spreadsheet for  
13 *Coleman* class members living in dormitories, and for EOP class members in dorm and  
14 celled units. Due to the large number of celled units housing non-EOP *Coleman* class  
15 members, it was not practicable to manually enter the capacity and occupancy data for  
16 them. This gap can likely be filled, however, when we receive a sortable version of the  
17 Institutional Bed Audit report.

18           6.       Nevertheless, with the working copy of the April 7, 2020 spreadsheet that  
19 includes the manually entered crowding information, it is possible to focus on particular  
20 housing units based on the number of vulnerable *Coleman* class members housed there. In  
21 all of the examples below, I believe that the housing information is substantially correct,  
22 although there may be errors introduced by the manual entry process.

7. The April 7, 2020 spreadsheet identifies 3,566 *Coleman* class members at the Enhanced Outpatient Level (EOP) level of care who have at least one COVID-19 risk factor. Based on the Institutional Bed Audit data, approximately 846 of these EOP patients live in dormitory settings. The snapshot of the data below shows that over 700 of these patients are concentrated in twenty housing units, nine of which are overcrowded. For this and all subsequent tables, I am informed and on that basis believe that some of the dormitory units listed below, such as those at VSP, SATF, RJD and MCSP, are subdivided into smaller units, but are reported in the Institutional Bed Audit report as one unit.

**Table 1, Top 20 Dorms By Population of EOP Patients with At Least One COVID-19 Risk Factor (Sources: April 7, 2020 *Coleman* class spreadsheet, April 7, 2020 Inst. Bed. Audit).**

Housing Unit	Design Capacity	Occupied Count	O/C %age	# of Persons
VSP-A 001 1 II EOP	128	157	123%	71
VSP-A 002 1 II EOP	119	158	133%	63
SATF-G 001 2 II EOP	96	120	125%	57
CCWF-B 508 1 EOP	48	44	92%	57
SATF-G 001 1 II EOP	80	93	116%	52
SATF-F 003 1 II EOP	80	113	141%	47
SATF-F 003 2 II EOP	96	132	138%	46
SQ-B 002 1 II EOP	100	92	92%	42
SQ-B 001 1 II EOP	100	90	90%	31
SATF-G 003 2 II EOP	48	64	133%	24
MCSP-D 018D1 II EOP	30	29	97%	22
RJD-E 023B1 II EOP	30	30	100%	21
SATF-G 003 1 II EOP	40	50	125%	21
RJD-E 023D1 II EOP	30	27	90%	21
RJD-E 023A1 II EOP	30	29	97%	20
MCSP-D 018B2 II EOP	36	34	94%	20
RJD-E 023C1 II EOP	30	28	93%	19
MCSP-D 018D2 II EOP	36	31	86%	19
MCSP-D 018A1 II EOP	30	26	87%	19
MCSP-D 018A2 II EOP	36	32	89%	18
RJD-E 023A2 II EOP	36	31	86%	18
<b>Grand Total</b>				<b>708</b>

8. Based on the Institutional Bed Audit data, approximately 2,689 EOP patients with at least one COVID-19 risk factor live in celled housing. (This number and the EOP

1 dorm number above, 846, do not add up to the full 3,566 EOP patients because housing  
 2 unit information is missing for some patients in the April 7, 2020 spreadsheet.) The top 30  
 3 celled housing units for EOP patients with at least one COVID-19 risk factor are listed  
 4 below. The majority of these celled units are overcrowded.

5 **Table 2, Top 30 Celled Units By Population of EOP Patients with At Least One COVID-19 Risk Factor**  
 6 (Sources: April 7, 2020 *Coleman* class spreadsheet, April 7, 2020 Inst. Bed. Audit).

Housing Unit	Design Capacity	Occupied Count	O/C %age	# of Persons
CMC-D 007 3 II EOP	100	100	100%	60
CMC-D 007 2 II EOP	100	97	97%	55
CMC-D 008 3 III EOP	100	99	99%	48
MCSP-B 007 1 III EOP	50	84	168%	48
CMC-D 008 2 III EOP	100	98	98%	47
RJD-A 002 1 III EOP	50	72	144%	46
RJD-A 001 1 III EOP	50	73	146%	44
CMF-A M 2 II EOP	38	69	182%	43
RJD-C 015 1 IV EOP	50	57	114%	42
CMF-A N 3 II EOP	38	66	174%	41
RJD-A 001 2 III EOP	50	75	150%	41
CMF-A N 1 II EOP	37	57	154%	41
MCSP-A 005 1 IV EOP	50	64	128%	40
MCSP-B 006 1 III EOP	50	68	136%	40
CMF-A N 2 II EOP	36	69	192%	40
LAC-D 004 1 IV EOP	50	78	156%	39
CMC-D 008 1 III EOP	100	91	91%	39
LAC-D 003 1 IV EOP	50	73	146%	39
CMF-A M 1 II EOP	37	72	195%	38
COR-03AA004 1 II EOP	50	78	156%	38
LAC-D 002 1 IV EOP	50	56	112%	38
CMF-A L 2 II EOP	38	72	189%	38
RJD-C 014 1 IV EOP	50	67	134%	36
RJD-A 002 2 III EOP	50	85	170%	36
RJD-C 014 2 IV EOP	50	74	148%	35
RJD-C 015 2 IV EOP	50	60	120%	34
MCSP-B 006 2 III EOP	50	80	160%	34
COR-03AA004 2 II EOP	50	67	134%	32
CIW-A SCU 1 EOP	47	61	130%	32
KVSP-C 008 1 IV EOP	32	44	138%	30
CHCF-E 301B1 EOP	40	44	110%	30
<b>Grand Total</b>				<b>1244</b>

1           9.       The April 7, 2020 spreadsheet includes 13,408 persons at the Correctional  
2 Clinical Case Management System (CCCMS) level of care with at least one COVID-19  
3 risk factor. Approximately 5,202 of these CCCMS patients are identified as living in  
4 dormitory housing. The top 30 dormitory units by number of CCCMS patients with at  
5 least one COVID-19 risk factor are listed below. All but one are overcrowded.

6 **Table 3, Top 30 Dormitory Units By Population of CCCMS Patients with At Least One COVID-19 Risk Factor**  
7 (Sources: April 7, 2020 *Coleman* class spreadsheet, April 7, 2020 Inst. Bed. Audit).

Housing Unit	Design Capacity	Occupied Count	O/C %age	# of Persons
CCWF-B 507 1 GP	128	203	159%	63
CCWF-D 513 1 GP	128	207	162%	58
CCWF-D 514 1 GP	128	212	166%	58
CCWF-C 511 1 GP	128	216	169%	56
VSP-C 002 1 II PF	128	213	166%	55
CCWF-D 515 1 GP	128	216	169%	55
VSP-C 004 1 II PF	128	207	162%	53
CCWF-C 510 1 GP	128	226	177%	53
SATF-G 002 1 II EOP	80	148	185%	51
VSP-B 004 1 II PF	128	221	173%	50
VSP-D 004 1 II PF	128	201	157%	50
VSP-D 002 1 II PF	128	196	153%	49
CIM-A AH 1 Angeles	80	147	184%	48
SATF-G 002 2 II EOP	96	179	186%	47
VSP-D 001 1 II PF	128	223	174%	46
VSP-C 001 1 II PF	128	210	164%	46
VSP-D 003 1 II PF	128	209	163%	45
CIM-D EH 1 Elm	156	145	93%	44
VSP-B 001 1 II PF	118	188	159%	43
VSP-C 003 1 II PF	128	210	164%	43
VSP-B 003 1 II PF	128	217	170%	42
VSP-B 002 1 II PF	128	213	166%	41
CCWF-A 501 1 R/C	127	220	173%	39
CIM-D MH 1 Magnolia	100	131	131%	37
CCWF-C 509 1 GP	128	172	134%	36
CIM-A JH 1 Joshua	80	128	160%	35
CCWF-B 506 1 GP	128	158	123%	35
CCWF-A 502 1 R/C	128	224	175%	35
CIM-A CH 1 Cleveland	80	141	176%	34
CMF-A J 2 III PF	76	87	114%	34
<b>Grand Total</b>				<b>1381</b>

1           10.     The April 7, 2020 spreadsheet does not specify age or other risk factors in a  
2 way that allows a focused approach on those at greatest risk. All risk factors are listed in  
3 one text field, "COVID-19 Risk Factors," separated by commas, in a way that does not lend  
4 itself to analysis. On April 8, 2020, the *Plata* Receiver provided the Prison Law Office  
5 with a more complete spreadsheet that included all members of the *Coleman* class, their  
6 COVID-19 risk factors, housing locations, and types of housing. This spreadsheet was  
7 produced with the filename "20.04.08 PLO High Risk Population2.xlsx." This spreadsheet  
8 has the following column headings:

9     PID  
10    CDCNumber  
11    Institution  
12    LastName  
13    FirstName  
14    Age  
15    Gender  
16    Medical Risk Level  
17    Health Conditions  
18    Other High Risk Factors  
19    Pregnant  
20    Race  
21    Ethnicity  
22    Facility  
23    CellBed  
24    BedType  
25    BedSecurityLevel  
26    ClassScore  
27    Incarceration Date  
28    Estimated Release Date  
    ReleaseType  
    CountyofCommitment  
    Mental Health Level of Care  
    In Mental Health Program in Last  
    TABE  
    DPP Codes  
    DDP Codes  
    CSRA  
    OffenseCategory  
    OffenseGroup  
    SexReg

- 1 Sentence\_Months
- Substance\_Abuse
- 2 Criminal\_Thinking
- 3 Social\_Isolation
- Criminal\_Personality
- 4 Anger
- 5 Educational\_Problems
- Employment\_Problems
- 6 Criminal\_Peers
- 7 Criminal\_Opportunity
- Leisure\_Recreation
- 8 Financial
- Residential\_Instability
- 9

10 11. The April 8, 2020 Receiver’s spreadsheet is much more effective for  
 11 performing the granular review that the Court has directed because it includes housing  
 12 factors such as BedType (either Dorm, 270 Dorm, 180 Cell, or Cell), and  
 13 BedSecurityLevel, as well as separate columns for age, and the Receiver’s four-level  
 14 Medical Risk scale. Using the Receiver’s data (coupled with the Institutional Bed Audit) it  
 15 is possible to focus in on categories such as age. For example, below are the dormitory  
 16 units that house EOP patients who are 65 and older.

17 **Table 4, Dormitory Units By Population of EOP Patients Aged 65 or Older (Sources: April 8, 2020 Receiver**  
 18 **spreadsheet, April 7, 2020 Inst. Bed. Audit).**

<b>DORMNAMES</b>	<b>Dorm Design Capacity</b>	<b>Dorm Occ. Count</b>	<b>O/C Percent</b>	<b># of Persons</b>
RJD-E 023D1	30	27	90%	10
RJD-E 023C1	30	28	93%	6
VSP-A 001 1	128	157	123%	5
SATF-G 001 1	80	93	116%	4
RJD-E 023B1	30	30	100%	4
MCSP-D 018D1	30	29	97%	4
CHCF-C 301B1	48	48	100%	3
SQ-B 001 1	100	90	90%	3
MCSP-D 018A1	30	26	87%	3
MCSP-D 018A2	36	32	89%	3
VSP-A 002 1	119	158	133%	3
CCWF-B 508 1	48	44	92%	3



	Dorm Design Capacity	Dorm Occ. Count	O/C Percent	# of Persons	
1					
2	<b>DORMNAMES</b>				
3	SATF-G 003 1	40	50	125%	2
4	CHCF-C 301A1	44	44	100%	2
5	MCSP-D 018C2	36	32	89%	2
6	RJD-E 023D2	36	32	89%	2
7	MCSP-D 018B2	36	34	94%	2
8	RJD-E 023A2	36	31	86%	2
9	RJD-E 023A1	30	29	97%	2
10	SATF-G 003 2	48	64	133%	1
11	CHCF-C 305B1	44	43	98%	1
12	RJD-E 023B2	36	36	100%	1
13	MCSP-D 018D2	36	31	86%	1
14	CHCF-C 306B1	44	44	100%	1
15	SATF-F 003 1	80	113	141%	1
16	MCSP-D 018C1	30	29	97%	1
17	SATF-F 003 2	96	132	138%	1
18	CHCF-C 304B1	44	40	91%	1
19	MCSP-D 018B1	30	29	97%	1
20	SATF-G 001 2	96	120	125%	1
21	<b>Grand Total</b>				<b>76</b>

16

17 12. Again using the Receiver's data, the table below shows the dormitory units  
18 containing the highest numbers of CCCMS patients aged 65 and older.

19 **Table 5, Dormitory Units with CCCMS Patients Aged 65 or Older (Sources: April 8, 2020 Receiver  
20 spreadsheet, April 7, 2020 Inst. Bed. Audit).**

	Dorm Design Capacity	Dorm Occ. Count	O/C Percent	# of Persons	
21					
22	<b>DORMNAMES</b>				
23	CIM-A AH 1 Angeles	80	147	184%	18
24	CMF-A J 2 III PF	76	87	114%	13
25	CIM-A CH 1 Cleveland	80	141	176%	12
26	CCWF-B 505 1 GP	119	53	45%	11
27	CIM-A JH 1 Joshua	80	128	160%	10
28	CMF-B DC 1 II PF	100	129	129%	10
	VSP-B 004 1 II PF	128	221	173%	9
	SOL-D 024 1 II GP	100	162	162%	9
	SATF-G 002 1 II EOP	80	148	185%	9

DORMNAMES	Dorm Design Capacity	Dorm Occ. Count	O/C Percent	# of Persons
CIM-A MH 1 Mariposa	80	132	165%	9
CMF-A J 3 III PF	76	108	142%	8
CIM-A BH 1 Borrego	80	141	176%	8
VSP-C 004 1 II PF	128	207	162%	8
CHCF-C 305A1 OHU	44	44	100%	8
CIM-D EH 1 Elm	156	145	93%	8
CHCF-C 305B1 OHU	44	43	98%	7
CHCF-C 304A1 OHU	44	43	98%	7
VSP-B 001 1 II PF	118	188	159%	7
CIM-A SH 1 Sequoia	80	134	168%	7
VSP-C 002 1 II PF	128	213	166%	7
CHCF-E 304A1 OHU	88	84	95%	7
CMF-A R 1 III PF	26	40	154%	7
CMC-F 020 1 II PF	45	86	191%	7
<b>Grand Total</b>				<b>206</b>

13  
 14 13. The Receiver’s April 8, 2020 spreadsheet includes the entire *Coleman* class,  
 15 35,979 individuals, as well as persons who have been in the Mental Health Services  
 16 Delivery System (MHSDS) within the past year, plus non-*Coleman* class members  
 17 identified with Medical Risk Levels of High 1 and High 2. Although the terms High 1 and  
 18 High 2 are not defined in the spreadsheet, the Receiver’s publicly available operations  
 19 manual defined “High Risk” as including: “Chronic care of complicated, unstable, or  
 20 poorly-controlled common conditions (e.g., asthma with history of intubation for  
 21 exacerbations, uncompensated end-stage liver disease, hypertension with endorgan  
 22 damage, diabetes with amputation). Chronic care of complex, unusual, or high risk  
 23 conditions (e.g., cancer under treatment or metastatic, coronary artery disease with prior  
 24 infarction). Implanted defibrillator or pacemaker. High risk medications (e.g.,  
 25 chemotherapy, immune suppressants, Factor 8 or 7, anticoagulants other than aspirin).”  
 26 (Chapter 1.2.14, Appendix 1 (c)(3)(C), available at [https://cchcs.ca.gov/wp-](https://cchcs.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/60/HC/HCDOM-ch01-art2.14.pdf)  
 27 [content/uploads/sites/60/HC/HCDOM-ch01-art2.14.pdf](https://cchcs.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/60/HC/HCDOM-ch01-art2.14.pdf)).

1           14.     The Receiver’s April 8, 2020 spreadsheet shows that of the 35,979 persons  
 2 identified as belonging to the *Coleman* class, 12,187 (34%) reside in dormitories, and  
 3 23,096 (64%) reside in cells. Three individuals are listed as residing in “Rooms”, and the  
 4 remaining 693 class members have no housing identified in the spreadsheet.

5 **Table 6, Coleman Class Member Housing (Sources: April 8, 2020 Receiver spreadsheet, April 7, 2020 Inst. Bed.  
 6 Audit).**

Housing	# of Persons	% of Persons
<b>DORMS</b>	<b>12,187</b>	<b>33.87%</b>
Dorm	11,163	31.03%
270 Dorm	1,024	2.85%
<b>CELLS</b>	<b>23,096</b>	<b>64.19%</b>
180 Cell	3,720	10.34%
270 Cell	9,401	26.13%
Cell	9,975	27.72%
<b>Room</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0.01%</b>
Room	3	0.01%
	<b>693</b>	<b>1.93%</b>
	693	1.93%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>35,979</b>	<b>100.00%</b>

17           15.     The Receiver’s April 8, 2020 spreadsheet allows the housing breakdown to  
 18 be filtered to show only those *Coleman* class members identified with Medical Risk Levels  
 19 High 1 and High 2.

20           //  
 21           //  
 22           //  
 23           //  
 24           //  
 25           //  
 26           //  
 27           //

1 **Table 7, Coleman High 1 and High 2 Medical Risk Level Housing (Sources: April 8, 2020 Receiver spreadsheet, April 7, 2020 Inst. Bed. Audit).**

Housing	# of Persons	% of Persons
<b>DORMS</b>	<b>2,697</b>	<b>31.23%</b>
Dorm	2,594	30.04%
270 Dorm	103	1.19%
<b>CELLS</b>	<b>5,718</b>	<b>66.21%</b>
180 Cell	654	7.57%
270 Cell	2,000	23.16%
Cell	3,064	35.48%
<b>Room</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.01%</b>
Room	1	0.01%
	<b>220</b>	<b>2.55%</b>
	220	2.55%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>8,636</b>	<b>100.00%</b>

12  
13 16. The Receiver's April 8, 2020 spreadsheet shows that approximately 7,319  
14 persons identified as being Medical Risk Levels High 1 and High 2, including *Coleman*  
15 and non-*Coleman* class members, reside in dormitories.

16 **Table 8, High 1 and High 2 Medical Risk Level Housing (Coleman and non-Coleman) (Sources: April 8, 2020 Receiver spreadsheet, April 7, 2020 Inst. Bed. Audit).**

Housing	# of Persons	% of Persons
<b>DORMS</b>	<b>7,319</b>	<b>40.09%</b>
Dorm	6,639	36.37%
270 Dorm	680	3.73%
<b>CELLS</b>	<b>10,474</b>	<b>57.38%</b>
180 Cell	1,010	5.53%
270 Cell	3,788	20.75%
Cell	5,676	31.09%
<b>Room</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0.03%</b>
Room	5	0.03%
	<b>457</b>	<b>2.50%</b>
	457	2.50%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>18,255</b>	<b>100.00%</b>

1 17. The Receiver’s April 8, 2020 spreadsheet shows that the top twenty  
 2 dormitory housing units by number of persons with Medical Risk Levels High 1 and High  
 3 2, including *Coleman* class members and non-*Coleman* class members, includes 11  
 4 housing units at CIM, four at CMF, and four at Solano State Prison.

5 **Table 9, Top 20 High 1 and High 2 Medical Risk Level Housing Units (Coleman and non-Coleman) (Sources:**  
 6 **April 8, 2020 Receiver spreadsheet, April 7, 2020 Inst. Bed. Audit).**

DORMNAMES	Dorm Design Capacity	Dorm Occ. Count	O/C Percent	# of Persons
CIM-A BH 1 Borrego	80	141	176%	112
CIM-A SH 1 Sequoia	80	134	168%	111
CIM-A CH 1 Cleveland	80	141	176%	111
CIM-A LH 1 Laguna	80	138	173%	110
CIM-A MH 1 Mariposa	80	132	165%	109
CIM-A JH 1 Joshua	80	128	160%	99
CIM-A AH 1 Angeles	80	147	184%	98
CIM-A OH 1 Otay	80	130	163%	95
CMF-B DC 1 II PF	100	129	129%	92
CIM-D EH 1 Elm	156	145	93%	91
CMF-B DD 1 II PF	88	118	134%	84
CMF-A J 3 III PF	76	108	142%	70
CMF-A J 1 III PF	92	107	116%	70
CIM-D JH 1 Juniper	100	136	136%	66
CMF-A J 2 III PF	76	87	114%	61
SOL-D 024 1 II GP	100	162	162%	57
CIM-D SH 1 Spruce Hall	100	117	117%	56
SOL-C 016 1 II GP	100	167	167%	56
SOL-D 019 1 II GP	100	149	149%	55
SOL-C 015 1 II GP	68	103	151%	54
<b>Grand Total</b>				<b>1657</b>

23 18. Filtering the same list of the top twenty dormitory housing units by number  
 24 of persons with Medical Risk Levels High 1 and High 2 to remove non-*Coleman* class  
 25 members changes the focus to a partly overlapping set of institutions shown in the table  
 26 below, including CIM and CMF, as in the overall list above, but adding CCWF, VSP,  
 27 SATF, CHCF, and MCSP.

1 **Table 10, Top 20 Dormitory Units By Number of Coleman Class Member High 1 and High 2 Medical Risk**  
 2 **Level Patients (Sources: April 8, 2020 Receiver spreadsheet, April 7, 2020 Inst. Bed. Audit).**

3		Dorm	Dorm	O/C	# of
4	DORMNAMES	Design	Occ.	Percent	Persons
5		Capacity	Count		
6	CCWF-B 508 1 GP	48	44	92%	50
7	CIM-A AH 1 Angeles	80	147	184%	36
8	CIM-A JH 1 Joshua	80	128	160%	33
9	CIM-D EH 1 Elm	156	145	93%	33
10	CIM-A CH 1 Cleveland	80	141	176%	31
11	VSP-A 001 1 II EOP	128	157	123%	29
12	CMF-B DC 1 II PF	100	129	129%	29
13	CIM-A BH 1 Borrego	80	141	176%	28
14	CMF-A J 2 III PF	76	87	114%	28
15	CIM-A MH 1 Mariposa	80	132	165%	28
16	CIM-A LH 1 Laguna	80	138	173%	25
17	CCWF-B 505 1 GP	119	53	45%	25
18	SATF-G 002 1 II EOP	80	148	185%	24
19	SATF-G 001 1 II EOP	80	93	116%	24
20	CMF-A J 3 III PF	76	108	142%	24
21	CHCF-C 301B1 OHU	48	48	100%	24
22	CMF-A A 3 ICF	40	37	93%	24
23	CIM-A SH 1 Sequoia	80	134	168%	23
24	MCSP-D 018D1 II PF	30	29	97%	23
25	VSP-A 002 1 II EOP	119	158	133%	23
26	CHCF-C 304B1 OHU	44	40	91%	23
27	VSP-B 001 1 II PF	118	188	159%	23
28	CMF-A J 1 III PF	92	107	116%	23
	<b>Grand Total</b>				<b>633</b>

21 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America  
 22 that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration is executed at San Francisco,  
 23 California this 20th day of April, 2020.

24  
 25 /s/ Ernest Galvan  
 26 Ernest Galvan