	'	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DONALD SPECTER – 083925 RITA K. LOMIO – 254501 MARGOT MENDELSON – 268583 PRISON LAW OFFICE 1917 Fifth Street Berkeley, California 94710-1916 Telephone: (510) 280-2621 Facsimile: (510) 280-2704  MICHAEL W. BIEN – 096891 GAY C. GRUNFELD – 121944 PENNY GODBOLD – 226925 MICHAEL FREEDMAN – 262850 ROSEN BIEN GALVAN & GRUNFELD LLP 101 Mission Street, Sixth Floor	
9 10	San Francisco, California 94105-1738 Telephone: (415) 433-6830 Facsimile: (415) 433-7104	
11 12 13 14	LINDA D. KILB – 136101 DISABILITY RIGHTS EDUCATION & DEFENSE FUND, INC. 3075 Adeline Street, Suite 201 Berkeley, California 94703 Telephone: (510) 644-2555 Facsimile: (510) 841-8645	
15	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
16		
17	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
18	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
19   20   221   222   223   224   225   226   227	JOHN ARMSTRONG, et al.,  Plaintiffs,  v.  GAVIN NEWSOM, et al.,  Defendants.	Case No. C94 2307 CW  DECLARATION OF PENNY GODBOLD IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO STOP DEFENDANTS FROM ASSAULTING, ABUSING AND RETALIATING AGAINST PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES AT R. J. DONOVAN CORRECTIONAL FACILITY  Judge: Hon. Claudia Wilken Date: May 19, 2020 Time: 2:00 p.m. Crtrm.: TBD, Oakland
28		
		Case No. C04 2307 C

[3494020.2]

DECL. OF PENNY GODBOLD ISO MOTION TO STOP DEFS. FROM ASSAULTING, ABUSING & RETALIATING AGAINST PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES AT R.J. DONOVAN CORR. FACILITY

5 6

2

3

4

- 7 8
- 10
- 11 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19 20
- 21

22

- 23
- 24 25
- 26
- 27

28

- I am an attorney duly admitted to practice before this Court. I am Of 1. Counsel to the law firm of Rosen Bien Galvan & Grunfeld LLP, counsel of record for Plaintiffs. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, and if called as a witness, I could competently so testify. I make this declaration in support of Plaintiffs' Motion to Stop Defendants from Assaulting, Abusing and Retaliating Against People With Disabilities at R. J. Donovan Correctional Facility.
- 2. I have worked as Plaintiffs' counsel and a monitor in Armstrong v. Newsom since 2009. As a monitor, it is my responsibility to tour California prisons and parole offices, interview Armstrong class members and prison staff members, and inspect prison facilities for the purpose of monitoring and reporting on Defendants' compliance with court orders, the Armstrong Remedial Plan, and the American's with Disabilities Act ("ADA"). Prior to Armstrong v. Newsom, I was Plaintiffs' counsel and a monitor assigned to Clark v. California, No. 3:96-cv-01486-CRB (N.D. Cal.), where I was also responsible for monitoring and reporting on Defendants' compliance with court orders, the Clark Remedial Plan, and the ADA with respect to people with intellectual disabilities in California prisons. I have monitored ADA conditions inside California prisons for more than sixteen years, since 2003. On average, I conduct seven prison tours a year and have interviewed thousands of incarcerated people with disabilities and prison staff members over the years.
- 3. I began monitoring R. J. Donovan Correctional Facility ("RJD") in August of 2016 and conducted my first monitoring tour of that prison in September 2016. Since that time, I have visited RJD a dozen times. I began hearing reports of abuse at RJD as soon as I began monitoring that prison. I have reported on dozens of allegations of threats and violence against class members because of their disabilities, including in multiple monitoring reports and advocacy letters, since 2016.
- 4. I have also interviewed multiple class members who reported experiencing or witnessing abuse at RJD but who stated that they would not share their story in an

9

8

11

12

10

13

15

14

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

27

advocacy letter, tour report, or a declaration to the Court out of fear of retaliation from staff members. As a result of interviewing multiple people who refuse to share their story, I believe the accounts of staff misconduct at RJD are significantly underreported.

- 5. As part of my responsibility as a monitor in the Armstrong case I am assigned to collaborate with Defendants on the development of a tool and a process for the parties to jointly audit California prisons. I have been involved in the joint audit process since its inception in July 2013.
- From August 27 through August 30, 2018, representatives from Plaintiffs' 6. counsel including myself, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation ("CDCR"), including members of their Office of Audits and Court Compliance ("OACC") team, the California Office of the Attorney General, and the Armstrong Court Expert, traveled to RJD for the purpose of conducting a Joint Audit.
- During the August 2018 Joint Audit Tour, I was a assigned to a team that 7. audited Facility C at RJD. During the course of our interviews with Armstrong class members on Facility C my joint audit compliance team heard multiple reports of serious staff misconduct. These reports were consistent with reports of misconduct that I had previously heard and had been reporting to Defendants since September of 2016.
- 8. Following the August 2018 Joint Audit tour, I sent a letter to Ralph Diaz, Secretary of CDCR, stating that there were reports of ongoing staff misconduct at RJD during the joint audit and requesting that CDCR take immediate action to investigate allegations on Facility C, a copy of which is attached to Grunfeld Declaration as Ex. F.
- 9. The Deputy Director of CDCR's OACC also produced a memo confirming that, during the August 2018 Joint Audit, the compliance teams heard "multiple allegations of serious staff misconduct" including reports of class members being assaulted and forcefully removed from wheelchairs. A copy of the Memorandum is attached to Grunfeld Declaration as Ex.G. This memo states that "[b]ecause of the nature and consistency of the allegations, OACC agrees that DAI and RJD management should promptly take all

28

Case No. C94 2307 CW

9

8

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

reasonable actions to ensure that these incidents do not occur in the future, and that the historical allegations are thoroughly investigated." *Id.* 

- 10. On December 10, 2018, following the casewide meet and confer meeting, the parties met, as requested by Plaintiffs' counsel, so that CDCR could provide an update on the status of their response to my September 20, 2018 letter and OACC's September 20, 2018 memorandum. Representatives from the CDCR Office of Legal Affairs reported that Defendants had sent a strike team to RJD. Defendants described the methodology of the strike team interviews, but did not provide any information regarding the strike team's findings. Defendants stated that they would share the interview results with Plaintiffs' counsel at a later date but that never happened.
- On or around January 2, 2019, our office began copying the Office of the 11. Inspector General ("OIG") on all advocacy letters alleging allegations of staff misconduct at RJD. I also spoke with Roy Wesley, Inspector General for the State of California, on multiple occasions by telephone in hopes his organization could assist us in stopping the widespread violence abuse and retaliation against people with disabilities we observed at RJD.
- 12. On January 17, 2020, Mr. Wesley sent a letter to CDCR Secretary, Ralph Diaz, stating that the OIG had conducted a review of 16 advocacy letters for 14 different incarcerated people sent by Plaintiffs' counsel. A copy of that letter is attached as Ex. J to the Grunfeld Decl.
- On January 22, 2020, I deposed Mr. Wesley. When asked about misconduct, 13. Mr. Wesley testified that RJD has more problems with Use of Force than other prisons, RJD has more incidents that are outside of policy, and that the OIG has found that officers at RJD have acted improperly by instigating incarcerated people to the point where force was used. See Exhibit S to the Declaration of Gay Crosthwait Grunfeld in Support of Motion to Stop Defendants from Assaulting, Abusing and Retaliating Against People with Disabilities at R.J. Donovan Correctional Facility ("Grunfeld Decl."), filed herewith, at 39:2-40:9.

- 14. On January 6, 2020, I travelled to RJD with my co-counsel Michael Freedman to meet with CDCR officials, including three representatives from the Office of Legal Affairs, and representatives from RJD including Acting Warden Marcus Pollard. During this good faith effort on the part of the parties to meet at the prison, Plaintiffs' counsel expected CDCR to respond to their November 13, 2019 letter by presenting a concrete plan for addressing staff misconduct at RJD. CDCR did not present a concrete plan for a response during the January 6 meeting and still has not done so.
- 15. In various conversations I have had with representatives from CDCR's Office of Legal Affairs, I have been informed that approximately five staff members from RJD were dismissed by the hiring authority in response to three cases of staff misconduct against incarcerated people between January 1, 2017 and present.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration is executed at San Francisco, California this 24th day of February, 2020.

Penny Godbold